

MOSCOW INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY

On the rights of the manuscript

GANICH MIKHAIL KONSTANTINOVICH

**PROTECTIVE MECHANISMS PERSONALITY AND
ATTITUDES TOWARDS ILLNESS IN CANCER PATIENTS**

Speciality: 5.3.6. Medical psychology

DEGREE THESIS
for a Candidate Degree in Psychological Sciences
APPENDICES

Translation from Russian

Volume 2

SCIENTIFIC SUPERVISOR:
doctor of psychological Sciences, Professor
Slobodchikov Ilya Mikhaylovich

St Petersburg – 2023

Towards an ITT methodology

1. First cut (setting up)

ITT	ED1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; ED1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = .7938228$ $p = .6724$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	6062,000	97,77419
	Group 2	2	60	5603,000	93,38333
	Group 3	3	65	5913,000	90,96923
	AST1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; AST1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 15,58496$ $p = ,0004$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	6175,500	99,6048
	Group 2	2	60	4800,000	80,0000
	Group 3	3	65	6602,500	101,5769
	FOB1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; FOB1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 1,859898$ $p = ,3946$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	6171,500	99,54032
	Group 2	2	60	5267,500	87,79167
Group 3	3	65	6139,000	94,44615	
OP1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; OP1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = ,8772216$ $p = ,6449$				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
Group 1	1	62	5771,000	93,08065	
Group 2	2	60	5434,500	90,57500	

	Group 3	3	65	6372,500	98,03846
	ST1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; ST1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,9485367 p =,6223			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	6000,500	96,78226
	Group 2	2	60	5351,500	89,19167
	Group 3	3	65	6226,000	95,78462
	LT1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; LT1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,3391472 p =,8440			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	5872,500	94,71774
	Group 2	2	60	5764,500	96,07500
	Group 3	3	65	5941,000	91,40000

2. Second cut (post-correction)

ITT	ED2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; ED2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =7,198750 p =,0273			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	4818,000	81,6610
	Group 2	2	56	4574,500	81,6875
	Group 3	3	60	6007,500	100,1250
	AST2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; AST2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =48,69396 p =,0000			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	4239,000	71,8475
	Group 2	2	56	4063,500	72,5625
	Group 3	3	60	7097,500	118,2917
	FOB2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; FOB2 (Spreadsheet1)			

	Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 7,057581$ $p = ,0293$			
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	59	5411,500	91,72034
Group 2	2	56	5341,000	95,37500
Group 3	3	60	4647,500	77,45833
OP2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; OP2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 8,455522$ $p = ,0146$			
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	59	4812,000	81,5593
Group 2	2	56	4508,000	80,5000
Group 3	3	60	6080,000	101,3333
ST2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; ST2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=180) = 23,25094$ $p = ,0000$			
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	59	5079,000	86,0847
Group 2	2	56	4026,000	71,8929
Group 3	3	65	7185,000	110,5385
LT2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; LT2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=180) = 24,33142$ $p = ,0000$			
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	59	5076,500	86,0424
Group 2	2	56	6472,000	115,5714
Group 3	3	65	4741,500	72,9462

3.Comparison of the results of the first and second cuts.

	ED			AST			FOB			OP			NW		
	Not expressed or little expressed	Does not exceed the normal level	Prevails	Not expressed or little expressed	Does not exceed the normal level	Prevails	Not expressed or little expressed	Does not exceed the normal level	Prevails	Not expressed or little expressed	Does not exceed the normal level	Prevails	Not expressed or little expressed	Does not exceed the normal level	Prevails
Group 1 - 1 slice	0	27	73	79	21	0	6	47	47	3	32	65	40	56	3
Group 1 - 2 slice	3	63	34	68	32	0	5	54	41	5	53	43	17	63	20
Group 2 - 1 slice	2	30	68	73	27	0	3	38	58	2	32	67	47	53	0
Group 2 - 2 slice	5	63	32	75	25	0	2	59	39	4	46	50	21	54	25
Group 3 - 1 slice	3	31	66	79	22	0	3	46	51	2	40	59	46	54	0
Group 3 - 2 slice	3	42	55	73	27	0	3	47	50	3	42	55	50	47	3

ITT					
Pair of VARIABLES Group 1		Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278)			
		Marked tests are significant at $p < .05000$			
		Valid	T	Z	p-value
AST	& AST after	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
FOB	& FOB after	7	0,00	2,366432	0,017961
OP	& OP after	18	0,00	3,723555	0,000196
NW	& NW after	22	0,00	4,106905	0,000040
ST	& ST after	17	0,00	3,621365	0,000293
LT	& LT after	10	0,00	2,803060	0,005062
ED	& ED after	27	0,00	4,540725	0,000006

ITT					
Pair of VARIABLES Group 2		Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet1)			
		Marked tests are significant at $p < .05000$			
		Valid	T	Z	p-value
ST	& ST2	17	0,00	3,621365	0,000293
LT	& LT2	14	0,00	3,295765	0,000982
ED	& ED2	26	0,00	4,457345	0,000008
AST	& AST2	30	0,00	4,782139	0,000002
FOB	& FOB2	14	0,00	3,295765	0,000982
OP	& OP2	14	0,00	3,295765	0,000982
NW	& NW2	26	0,00	4,457345	0,000008

ITT				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 3	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet31) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
ST & ST2	7	0,00	2,366432	0,017961
LT & LT2	9	0,00	2,665570	0,007686
ED & ED2	12	0,00	3,059412	0,002218
AST & AST2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
FOB & FOB2	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
OP & OP2	7	0,00	2,366432	0,017961
NW & NW2	7	8,000000	1,014185	0,310495

The subjective feeling of loneliness methodology

1.

MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness 1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Loneliness 1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=180) = 3,383620$ $p = 0,8444$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Code
	Group 1	1	59	5175,000	87,71186
	Group 2	2	56	5130,000	91,60714
	Group 3	3	65	5985,000	92,07692

2.

MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness 2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Loneliness 2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 13,82579$ $p = 0,0010$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Code
	Group 1	1	59	4466,000	75,6949
	Group 2	2	56	4669,000	83,3750
	Group 3	3	60	6265,000	104,4167

3.

MSLP (loneliness)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 1	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278) Marked tests are significant at $p < 0,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Loneliness & Loneliness after	20	0,00	3,919930	0,000089

MSLP (loneliness)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 2	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet17) Marked tests are significant at $p < 0,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Loneliness index & Loneliness index 2	19	0,00	3,823007	0,000132

MSLP (loneliness)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 3	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet49) Marked tests are significant at $p < 0,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Loneliness index & Loneliness index 2	9	0,00	2,665570	0,007686

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

1.

Hospital scale (anxiety)	Anxiety1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anxiety1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,6402850 p =,7260			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Code
	Group 1	1	62	5587,500	90,12097
	Group 2	2	60	5726,000	95,43333
	Group 3	3	65	6264,500	96,37692
	Depression 1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Depression 1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,1459986 p =,9296			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Code
	Group 1	1	62	5715,500	92,18548
	Group 2	2	60	5694,000	94,90000
Group 3	3	65	6168,500	94,90000	

2.

Hospital scale (anxiety)	Anxiety2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anxiety2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =6,248362 p =,0440			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Code
	Group 1	1	59	5018,500	85,05932
	Group 2	2	56	4441,500	79,31250
	Group 3	3	60	5940,000	99,00000
	-	-		-	-
	Depression2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Depression2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 180) =6,379214 p =,0412			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Code
	Group 1	1	59	4893,000	82,9322
	Group 2	2	56	4812,000	85,9286
Group 3	3	65	6585,000	101,3077	

3.

Hospital scale (anxiety)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 1	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Anxiety & Anxiety after	27	0,00	4,540725	0,000006
Depression & Depression after	42	104,0000	4,345023	0,000014

Hospital scale (anxiety)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 2	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet14) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Anxiety & Anxiety 2	25	0,00	4,372373	0,000012
Depression & Depression 2	23	0,00	4,197264	0,000027

Hospital scale (anxiety)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 3	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet46) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Anxiety & Anxiety 2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Depression & Depression 2	13	0,00	3,179797	0,001474

Fear of disease progression questionnaire

1.

FPSQ (fears)	GeneralStrActivAtion 1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; OverallStrustPlan 1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,0666516 p =,9672				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5751,500	92,76613
		Group 2	2	60	5665,500	94,42500
	Group 3	3	65	6161,000	94,78462	
	EmotionsReactions1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Emotional Reactions 1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,2527752 p =,8813				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5693,500	91,83065
		Group 2	2	60	5642,000	94,03333
		Group 3	3	65	6242,500	96,03846
	SemStrakhi2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; SemStrakhi2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 180) =,3383620 p =,8444				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
Group 1		1	59	5175,000	87,71186	
Group 2		2	56	5130,000	91,60714	
Group 3		3	65	5985,000	92,07692	
InsuranceLossAutonomy1		Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; FearLossAutonomy1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 180) =2,011787 p =,3657				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	5574,500	94,48305
		Group 2	2	56	5198,000	92,82143
Group 3	3	65	5517,500	84,88462		

2.

FPSQ (fears)	GeneralStrA ctivA tion 2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; OverallStrustPlan 2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =8,476429 p =,0144				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	4723,500	80,0593
		Group 2	2	56	4599,000	82,1250
		Group 3	3	60	6077,500	101,2917
	EmotionRea ction 2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Emotional Response 2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =7,333853 p =,0256				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	4842,500	82,0763
		Group 2	2	56	4532,500	80,9375
		Group 3	3	60	6025,000	100,4167
SemStrakhi2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; SemStrakhi2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =6,013426 p =,0495					
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean		
	Group 1	1	59	4846,500	82,14407	
	Group 2	2	56	4606,000	82,25000	
	Group 3	3	60	5947,500	99,12500	
	InsuranceLo ssAutonom 2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; FearLossAuton 2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =15,94888 p =,0003				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	4796,500	81,2966
		Group 2	2	56	4343,500	77,5625
		Group 3	3	60	6260,000	104,3333

3.

FPSQ (fears)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 1	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Overall level of fear of disease progression & Overall level of fear of disease progression (after)	17	0,00	3,621365	0,000293
Emotional reactions & Emotional reactions after	24	0,00	4,285714	0,000018
Family fears & Family fears after	20	0,00	3,919930	0,000089
Fear of losing autonomy & Fear of losing autonomy after	8	0,00	2,520504	0,011719

FPSQ (fears)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 2	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet9) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Overall level of fear of disease progression & Overall level of fear of disease progression 2	21	0,00	4,014509	0,000060
Emotional reactions & Emotional reactions 2	20	0,00	3,919930	0,000089
Family Fears & Family Fears 2	20	0,00	3,919930	0,000089
Fear of losing autonomy & Fear pf losing autonomy 2	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115

FPSQ (fears)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 3	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet41) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Emotional responses & Emotional responses 2	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Family Fears & Family Fears 2	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Fear of losing autonomy & Fear pf losing autonomy 2	48	49,00000	5,528278	0,000000

A. Langle – C. Orgler Existence Scale

1.

Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)1 slice	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; SD1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,5915576 p =,7440				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5651,000	91,14516
		Group 2	2	60	5616,500	93,60833
		Group 3	3	65	6310,500	97,08462
	Self-transcendence (ST) 1 slice	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; ST1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,4593840 p =,7948				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5932,500	95,68548
		Group 2	2	60	5744,500	95,74167
		Group 3	3	65	5901,000	90,78462
	Depend.:F 1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; F 1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =2,097056 p =,3505				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5465,500	88,15323
		Group 2	2	60	5627,000	93,78333
		Group 3	3	65	6485,500	99,77692
	V1	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; V1 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =1,462475 p =,4813				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5487,000	88,50000
		Group 2	2	60	5764,000	96,06667
Group 3		3	65	6327,000	97,33846	

2.

Langle's Existence Scale	SD2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; SD2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =15,32112 p =,0005			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	5058,000	85,7288
	Group 2	2	56	5884,500	105,0804
	Group 3	3	60	4457,500	74,2917
	ST2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; ST2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =12,48763 p =,0019			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	5651,500	95,78814
	Group 2	2	56	5472,000	97,71429
	Group 3	3	60	4276,500	71,27500
	F2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; V2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =49,26432 p =,0000			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	6035,000	102,2881
	Group 2	2	56	6002,500	107,1875
Group 3	3	60	3362,500	56,0417	
V2	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; V2 (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =49,47613 p =,0000				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
Group 1	1	59	4949,500	83,8898	
Group 2	2	56	6608,000	118,0000	
Group 3	3	60	3842,500	64,0417	

3.

Langle's Existence Scale				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 1	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278) Marked tests are significant at p <,05000			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Self-steering (SD) & Self-Steering (SD) after	21	0,00	4,014509	0,000060
Freedome (F) & Freedome (F) after	12	0,00	3,059412	0,002218
Responsibility (V) & Responsibility (V) after	25	0,00	4,372373	0,000012
Self-transcendence (ST) & Self-transcendence (ST) after	19	0,00	3,823007	0,000132

3.

Langle's Existance Scale				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 2	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet1)			
	Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
SD 1 & SD 2	22	0,00	4,106905	0,000040
ST1 & ST2	18	0,00	3,723555	0,000196
F1 & F2	9	0,00	2,665570	0,007686
V 1 & V 2	19	0,00	3,823007	0,000132

Langle's Existance Scale				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 3	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet1)			
	Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
SD1 & SD 2	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
ST1 & ST2	0			
F1 & F2	0			
V1 & V2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713

Life Style Index (LSI)

1.

LSI	Denial (1 cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Denial (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,3505771 p =,8392			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	5708,000	92,06452
	Group 2	2	60	5735,000	95,58333
	Group 3	3	65	6135,000	94,38462
	Displacement (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Displacement (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =1,630128 p =,4426			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	5649,500	91,12097
	Group 2	2	60	5980,000	99,66667
	Group 3	3	65	5948,500	91,51538
	Regression (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Regression (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =2,07302 p =,2215			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	7222,000	98,4839
	Group 2	2	60	3930,500	85,5083
	Group 3	3	65	6425,500	96,8538
	Compensation (1 cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Compensation (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,9522886 p =,6212			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	5705,500	92,02419
	Group 2	2	60	5624,000	93,73333
	Group 3	3	65	6248,500	96,13077

LSI

Projection (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Projection (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =3,236738 p =,1982			
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	62	5593,000	90,20968
Group 2	2	60	5920,000	98,66667
Group 3	3	65	6065,000	93,30769
Substitution (1 cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Substitution (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,6510830 p =,7221			
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	62	5955,000	96,04839
Group 2	2	60	5594,000	93,23333
Group 3	3	65	6029,000	92,75385
Intellectualisation (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Intelligence (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,3979649 p =,8196			
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	62	5871,500	94,70161
Group 2	2	60	5697,500	94,95833
Group 3	3	65	6009,000	92,44615
Reactive formations (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Reactive formations (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =6,685662 p =,0353			
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	62	6074,000	97,96774
Group 2	2	60	5012,500	83,54167
Group 3	3	65	6491,500	99,86923

2.

LSI	Denial (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Denial (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 6,975848$ $p = ,0306$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	5457,000	88,0161
	Group 2	2	60	5567,500	92,7917
	Group 3	3	65	6553,500	100,8231
	Displacement (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Displacement (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 4,612647$ $p = ,0296$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	5205,500	92,22881
	Group 2	2	56	4554,500	81,33036
	Group 3	3	60	5640,000	94,00000
	Regression (2nd slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Regression (2-slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 2,943940$ $p = ,2295$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	5307,500	89,95763
	Group 2	2	56	4702,500	83,97321
	Group 3	3	60	5390,000	89,83333
	Compensation (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Compensation (2-slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 6,500103$ $p = ,0388$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	59	5090,000	86,27119	
Group 2	2	56	5411,000	96,62500	
Group 3	3	60	4899,000	81,65000	

LSI	Projection (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Projection (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=179) = 12,16601$ $p = ,0023$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	5186,500	87,9068
		Group 2	2	60	6085,500	101,4250
		Group 3	3	60	4838,000	80,6333
	Substitution (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Substitution (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 6,873867$ $p = ,0322$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	5542,000	93,93220
		Group 2	2	56	4674,000	83,46429
		Group 3	3	60	5184,000	86,40000
	Intellectualisation (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Intelligence (2-slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 6,046787$ $p = ,0486$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	5403,500	91,58475
		Group 2	2	56	5174,000	92,39286
		Group 3	3	60	4822,500	80,37500
	Reactive formations (2nd slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Reactive formations (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 9,528909$ $p = ,0085$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
Group 1		1	59	5196,500	88,07627	
Group 2		2	56	4398,500	78,54464	
Group 3		3	60	5805,000	96,75000	

3.

LSI				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 1	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Denial (1st slice) & Denial (2nd slice)	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
Displacement (1st slice) & Displacement (2nd slice)	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
Regression (1st slice) & Regression (2nd slice)	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Compensation (1st slice) & Compensation (2nd slice)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Projection (1st slice) & Projection (2nd slice)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Substitution (1st slice) & Substitution (2nd slice)	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Intellectualisation (1st slice) & Intellectualisation (2nd slice)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Reactive formations (1st slice) & Reactive formations (2nd slice)	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709

LSI				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 2	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Denial (1st slice) & Denial (2nd slice)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Displacement (1st slice) & Displacement (2nd slice)	15	0,00	3,407771	0,000655
Regression (1st slice) & Regression (2nd slice)	1			
Compensation (1st slice) & Compensation (2nd slice)	10	0,00	2,803060	0,005062
Projection (1st slice) & Projection (2nd slice)	9	0,00	2,665570	0,007686
Substitution (1st slice) & Substitution (2nd slice)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Intellectualisation (1st slice) & Intellectualisation (2nd slice)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Reactive formations (1st slice) & Reactive formations (2nd slice)	4	0,00	1,825742	0,067890

LSI				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 3	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet1) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Denial & Denial 2	1			
Displacement & Displacement 2	1			
Regression & Regression 2	0			
Compensation & Compensation 2	0			
Projection & Projection 2	1			
Substitution & Substitution 2	1			
Intellectualisation & Intellectualisation 2	1			
Reactive formations & Reactive Formations 2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713

Coping Mechanism Diagnostic Technique (Heim Methodology)

1.

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis (1-slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Problem analysis (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5828,000	94,00000
		Group 2	2	60	5640,000	94,00000
		Group 3	3	65	6110,000	94,00000
		Maintaining self-control (1 cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Self-monitoring (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5828,000	94,00000
		Group 2	2	60	5640,000	94,00000
		Group 3	3	65	6110,000	94,00000
		Setting your own value (1 cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Setting intrinsic value (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 7,722044$ $p = ,0210$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5575,500	89,9274
		Group 2	2	60	5498,000	91,6333
		Group 3	3	65	6504,500	100,0692
		Protest (1 cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Protest (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = ,1962413$ $p = ,9065$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5767,500	93,02419
		Group 2	2	60	5684,000	94,73333
		Group 3	3	65	6126,500	94,25385

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviors'	Optimism (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Optimism (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 6,114130$ $p = 0,0470$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	62	6015,500	97,02419
			Group 2	2	60	5550,000	92,50000
			Group 3	3	65	6012,500	92,50000
		Group 4					
		Cooperation (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Collaboration (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 3,197649$ $p = 0,2021$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	62	5762,000	92,93548
			Group 2	2	60	5491,000	91,51667
			Group 3	3	65	6325,000	97,30769
		Group 4					
		Address (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Treatment (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 2,116667$ $p = 0,3470$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	62	5797,000	93,50000
			Group 2	2	60	5703,500	95,05833
			Group 3	3	65	6077,500	93,50000
		Group 4					
		Altruism (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Altruism (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 2,116667$ $p = 0,3470$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
Group 1	1		62	5797,000	93,50000		
Group 2	2		60	5703,500	95,05833		
Group 3	3		65	6077,500	93,50000		
Group 4							

1b

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviors'	Humility (1 cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Humility (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =3,940099 p =,1395				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	62	5511,000	88,88710
			Group 2	2	60	5697,000	94,95000
			Group 3	3	65	6370,000	98,00000
		Confusion (1 cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Confusion (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =5,774955 p =,0557				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	62	5667,000	91,40323
			Group 2	2	60	5978,500	99,64167
			Group 3	3	65	5932,500	91,26923
		Dissimulation (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Dissimulation (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =7,508768 p =,0234				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	62	5698,000	91,9032
			Group 2	2	60	6005,000	100,0833
			Group 3	3	65	5875,000	90,3846
		Ignoring (1 cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Ignoring (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =3,332963 p =,1889				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
Group 1	1		62	5822,000	93,90323		
Group 2	2		60	5847,500	97,45833		
Group 3	3		65	5908,500	90,90000		

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviors'	Suppression of emotions (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Ignoring (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 2,016129$ $p = ,3649$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5890,500	95,00806
		Group 2	2	60	5610,000	93,50000
		Group 3	3	65	6077,500	93,50000
		Obedience (1 cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Subordination (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 2,016129$ $p = ,3649$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5890,500	95,00806
		Group 2	2	60	5610,000	93,50000
		Group 3	3	65	6077,500	93,50000
		Self-incrimination (1 cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Self-reported (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 2,207717$ $p = ,3316$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5827,500	93,99194
		Group 2	2	60	5738,000	95,63333
		Group 3	3	65	6012,500	92,50000
		Aggressiveness (1 cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Aggressiveness (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 2,918020$ $p = ,2325$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5922,500	95,52419
		Group 2	2	60	5460,000	91,00000
		Group 3	3	65	6195,500	95,31538
		Actie avoidance (1 cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Active avoidance (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = ,1958247$ $p = ,9067$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5867,000	94,62903
Group 2	2	60	5578,500	92,97500		
Group 3	3	65	6132,500	94,34615		

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviors'	Retreat (1 cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Retreat (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =3,087286 p =,2136			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	6112,000	98,58065
		Group 2	2	60	5428,500	90,47500
		Group 3	3	65	6037,500	92,88462

1c

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Relativity (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 3,583599$ $p = ,1667$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5969,000	96,27419
		Group 2	2	60	5213,500	86,89167
		Group 3	3	65	6395,500	98,39231
		Giving meaning (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Assignment of meaning (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5828,000	94,00000
		Group 2	2	60	5640,000	94,00000
		Group 3	3	65	6110,000	94,00000
		Religiousness (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Religiousness (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5828,000	94,00000
		Group 2	2	60	5640,000	94,00000
		Group 3	3	65	6110,000	94,00000
		Emotional release (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Emotional release (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 1,068111$ $p = ,5862$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	62	5862,500	94,55645		
Group 2	2	60	5788,000	96,46667		
Group 3	3	65	5927,500	91,19231		

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Passive cooperation (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Passive cooperation (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 1,264967$ $p = ,5313$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5705,000	92,01613
		Group 2	2	60	5620,500	93,67500
		Group 3	3	65	6252,500	96,19231
		Compensation (1 cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Compensation (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 4,054054$ $p = ,1317$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5953,000	96,01613
		Group 2	2	60	5580,000	93,00000
		Group 3	3	65	6045,000	93,00000
		Distraction (1 cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Distraction (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 4,054054$ $p = ,1317$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	62	5953,000	96,01613
		Group 2	2	60	5580,000	93,00000
		Group 3	3	65	6045,000	93,00000
		Constructive activity (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Constructive activity (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 4,054054$ $p = ,1317$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	62	5953,000	96,01613		
Group 2	2	60	5580,000	93,00000		
Group 3	3	65	6045,000	93,00000		

2a

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis (2nd section)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Problem analysis (2-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 2,205862$ $p = ,3319$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	59	5190,000	87,96610
			Group 2	2	56	5020,000	89,64286
		Group 3	3	60	5190,000	86,50000	
		Maintaining self-control (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Retention of self-control (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 6,657458$ $p = ,0448$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	59	5251,000	89,00000
			Group 2	2	56	5095,000	90,98214
		Group 3	3	60	5054,000	84,23333	
		Setting your own value (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Setting intrinsic value (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = ,1495947$ $p = ,9279$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	59	5126,000	86,88136
			Group 2	2	56	4984,000	89,00000
		Group 3	3	60	5290,000	88,16667	
		Protest (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Protest (2-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 3,558236$ $p = ,1688$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
Group 1	1		59	5108,500	86,58475		
Group 2	2		56	5229,500	93,38393		
Group 3	3	60	5062,000	84,36667			

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Optimism (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Optimism (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 8,125836$ $p = ,0172$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5567,500	94,36441
		Group 2	2	56	4795,000	85,62500
		Group 3	3	60	5037,500	83,95833
		Cooperation (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Collaboration (2 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 1,304710$ $p = ,5208$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5375,500	91,11017
		Group 2	2	56	4897,000	87,44643
		Group 3	3	60	5127,500	85,45833
		Address (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Treatment (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 1,026734$ $p = ,5985$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5133,000	87,00000
		Group 2	2	56	4959,500	88,56250
		Group 3	3	60	5307,500	88,45833
		Altruism (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Altruism (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 1,026734$ $p = ,5985$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	59	5133,000	87,00000		
Group 2	2	56	4959,500	88,56250		
Group 3	3	60	5307,500	88,45833		

2b

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Humility (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 10,84482$ $p = ,0044$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5040,000	85,42373
		Group 2	2	56	4704,000	84,00000
		Group 3	3	60	5656,000	94,26667

		-	-	-	-
	Confusion (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Confusion (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =3,855491 p =,1455			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	5133,000	87,00000
	Group 2	2	56	4872,000	87,00000
	Group 3	3	60	5395,000	89,91667
	-	-	-	-	-
	Dissimulation (2nd slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Dissimulation (2-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =2,180430 p =,3361			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	5191,000	87,98305
	Group 2	2	56	5019,000	89,62500
	Group 3	3	60	5190,000	86,50000
	Ignoring (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Ignoring (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =3,117583 p =,2104			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	5216,500	88,41525
	Group 2	2	56	5053,500	90,24107
	Group 3	3	60	5130,000	85,50000

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Suppression of emotions (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Suppression of emotions (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	59	5192,000	88,00000
			Group 2	2	56	4928,000	88,00000
		Group 3	3	60	5280,000	88,00000	
		Obedience (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Subordination (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	59	5192,000	88,00000
			Group 2	2	56	4928,000	88,00000
		Group 3	3	60	5280,000	88,00000	
		Self-incrimination (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Self-reported (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	59	5192,000	88,00000
			Group 2	2	56	4928,000	88,00000
		Group 3	3	60	5280,000	88,00000	
		Aggressiveness (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Aggressiveness (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$				
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
			Group 1	1	59	5192,000	88,00000
			Group 2	2	56	4928,000	88,00000
		Group 3	3	60	5280,000	88,00000	
		Active avoidance (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Active avoidance (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 8,871247$ $p = ,0118$				

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5070,000	85,93220
		Group 2	2	56	4732,000	84,50000
		Group 3	3	60	5598,000	93,30000
		Retreat (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Retreat (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 7,635757$ $p = ,0220$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5149,000	87,27119
		Group 2	2	56	4648,000	83,00000
		Group 3	3	60	5603,000	93,38333

2c

Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Relativity (2-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 6,804371$ $p = ,0333$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	4668,000	79,11864
		Group 2	2	56	5218,000	93,17857
		Group 3	3	60	5514,000	91,90000
		Giving meaning (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Assignment of meaning (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5192,000	88,00000
		Group 2	2	56	4928,000	88,00000
		Group 3	3	60	5280,000	88,00000
		Religiousness (2nd slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Religiousness (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5192,000	88,00000
		Group 2	2	56	4928,000	88,00000
		Group 3	3	60	5280,000	88,00000

		Emotional release (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Emotional release (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =,0110568 p =,9945			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5188,000	87,93220
		Group 2	2	56	4942,000	88,25000
		Group 3	3	60	5270,000	87,83333
		Passive cooperation (2nd slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Passive co-operation (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =,0110568 p =,9945			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5188,000	87,93220
		Group 2	2	56	4942,000	88,25000
		Group 3	3	60	5270,000	87,83333
		Compensation (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Compensation (2-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =1,966102 p =,3742			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	5250,000	88,98305
		Group 2	2	56	4900,000	87,50000
		Group 3	3	60	5250,000	87,50000
		Distraction (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Distraction (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =1,966102 p =,3742			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	4619,500	74,5081
		Group 2	2	56	5863,000	97,7167
		Group 3	3	60	7095,500	109,1615
		Constructive activity (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Constructive Activity (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =1,966102 p =,3742			
			Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
		Group 1	1	59	4619,500	74,5081
		Group 2	2	56	5863,000	97,7167
		Group 3	3	60	7095,500	109,1615

3

Heim's methodology (coping)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 1	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278) Marked tests are significant at $p < .05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Problem Analysis (1st slice) & Problem Analysis (2nd slice)	1	-	-	-
Maintaining self-control (1st cut-off) & Maintaining self-control (2nd cut-off)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Self-value setting (1st cut-off) & Self-value setting (2nd cut-off)	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
Protest (1st cut) & Protest (2nd cut)	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Optimism (1st slice) & Optimism (2nd slice)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Cooperation (1st slice) & Cooperation (2nd slice)	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
Address (1st slice) & Address (2nd slice)	0			
Altruism (1st cut) & Altruism (2nd cut)	0			
Humility (1st cut) & Humility (2nd cut)	1			
Confusion (1st cut-off) & Confusion (2nd cut)	1			
Dissimulation (1st slice) & Dissimulation (2nd slice)	1			
Ignoring (1st cut-off) & Ignoring (2nd cut-off)	1			
Suppression of emotion (1 cut-off) & Suppression of emotion (2 cut-off)	1			
Obedience (1st cut) & Obedience (2nd cut)	1			
Self-incrimination (1st cut-off) & Self-incrimination (2nd cut-off)	1			
Aggressiveness (1st cut-off) & Aggressiveness (2nd cut-off)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Active avoidance (1st cut-off) & Active avoidance (2nd cut-off)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Retreat (1st cut) & Retreat (2nd cut)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Relativity (1st slice) & Relativity (2nd slice)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Giving meaning (1st cut) & Giving meaning (2nd cut)	0			
Religiousness (1st slice) & Religiousness (2nd slice)	0			
Emotional release (1st cut-off) & Emotional release (2nd cut-off)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Passive co-operation (1st slice) & Passive co-operation (2nd slice)	1			
Compensation (1st slice) & Compensation (2nd slice)	1			
Distraction (1st slice) & Distraction (2nd slice)	1			
Constructive activity (1st slice) & Constructive activity (2nd slice)	1			

Heim's methodology (coping)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 2	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet1) Marked tests are significant at $p < .05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Problem Analysis & Problem Analysis 2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Maintaining self-control & Maintaining self-control 2	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
Self-value setting & Self-value setting 2	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
Protest & Protest 2	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Optimism & Optimism 2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Cooperation & Cooperation 2	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115

Address & Address 2	1			
Address & Address 2	0			
Altruism & Altruism 2	0			
Humility & Humility 2	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
Confusion & Confusion 2	7	0,00	2,366432	0,017961
Dissimulation & Dissimulation 2	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Ignore & Ignore 2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Suppression of emotions & Suppression of emotions 2	0			
Obedience & Obedience 2	0			
Self-incrimination & Self-incrimination 2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Aggressiveness & Aggressiveness 2	0			
Active Avoidance & Active Avoidance 2	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Retreat & Retreat 2	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Relativity & Relativity 2	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Giving meaning & Giving meaning 2	0			
Religiousness & Religiousness 2	0			
Emotional Release & Emotional Release 2	4	0,00	1,825742	0,067890
Passive co-operation & Passive co-operation 2	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Compensation & Compensation 2	0			
Distraction & Distraction 2	0			
Constructive Activity & Constructive Activity 2	0			

Heim methodology (coping)				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 3	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet1) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Problem Analysis & Problem Analysis 2	0	-	-	-
Maintaining self-control & Maintaining self-control 2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Self-value setting & Self-value setting 2	0			
Protest & Protest 2	0			
Optimism & Optimism2	1			
Cooperation & Co-operation2	1			
Address & Address2	1			
Altruism & Altruism2	1			
Humility & Humility2	1			
Confusion & Confusion2	0			
Dissimulation & Dissimulation2	1			
Ignore & Ignore2	1			
Suppression of emotions & Suppression of emotions2	0			
Obedience & Obedience2	0			
Self-incrimination & Self-incrimination2	0			
Aggressiveness & Aggressiveness 2	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Active Avoidance & Active Avoidance2	1			
Retreat & Retreat2	0			
Relativity & Relativity2	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Giving meaning & Giving meaning2	0			
Religiousness & Religiousness2	0			
Emotional release & Emotional release2	1			
Passive co-operation & Passive co-operation2	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Compensation & Compensation2	0			
Distraction & Distraction2	0			
Constructive Activity & Constructive Activity2	0			

Diagnosable types of attitudes towards illness (TOBOL)

1.

TOBOL	Harmonious (1 cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Harmonic (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5828,000	94,00000
		Group 2	2	60	5640,000	94,00000
	Group 3	3	65	6110,000	94,00000	
	Anxious (1 cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anxiety (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 0,0452023$ $p = 0,9777$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5834,500	94,10484
		Group 2	2	60	5592,000	93,20000
	Group 3	3	65	6151,500	94,63846	
	Hypochondriac (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Hypochondriac (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 1,249711$ $p = 0,5353$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5925,000	95,56452
Group 2		2	60	5758,000	95,96667	
Group 3	3	65	5895,000	90,69231		
Melancholic (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Melancholic (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 3,905295$ $p = 0,1419$					
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean		
	Group 1	1	62	6346,000	92,2258	
	Group 2	2	60	6177,500	92,1583	
Group 3	3	65	6054,500	90,1462		

TOBOL	Apathetic (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Apathetic (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,0101556 p =,9949				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5829,500	94,02419
		Group 2	2	60	5650,500	94,17500
	Group 3	3	65	6098,000	93,81538	
	Neurasthenic (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Neurasthenic (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =,0066582 p =,9967				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5829,000	94,01613
		Group 2	2	60	5647,000	94,11667
	Group 3	3	65	6102,000	93,87692	
	Sensitive (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Sensitive (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 187) =12,86355 p =,3316				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5954,500	90,9113
		Group 2	2	60	6752,000	112,5333
Group 3	3	65	5871,500	90,3308		
Egocentric (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Egocentric (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test:H (2, N= 187) =,0101556 p =,9949					
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean		
	Group 1	1	62	5829,500	94,02419	
	Group 2	2	60	5650,500	94,17500	
Group 3	3	65	6098,000	93,81538		

TOBOL	Anosognostic (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anosognostic (1-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 0,1962413$ $p = 0,9065$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	5767,500	93,02419
	Group 2	2	60	5684,000	94,73333
	Group 3	3	65	6126,500	94,25385
	Ergopathic (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Ergopathic (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 1,623820$ $p = 0,4440$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	5744,000	92,64516
	Group 2	2	60	5482,500	91,37500
	Group 3	3	65	6351,500	97,71538
	Paranoia (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Paranoia (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 2,026272$ $p = 0,3631$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	62	5704,000	92,00000
	Group 2	2	60	5707,000	95,11667
	Group 3	3	65	6167,000	94,87692
	Dysphoric (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Dysphoric (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 1,953696$ $p = 0,9069$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
Group 1	1	62	5860,500	94,52419	
Group 2	2	60	5587,000	93,11667	
Group 3	3	65	6130,500	94,31538	

TOBOL	Anxious-neurasthenic (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anxiety-neurasthenic (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 90,50605$ $p = 0,2212$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	7566,500	95,3952
		Group 2	2	60	7669,500	97,4917
		Group 3	3	65	6542,000	84,0308
	Melancholic and Hypochondriac (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Melancholic-hypochondriac (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 13,79560$ $p = 0,0010$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	5643,000	91,0161
		Group 2	2	60	5280,000	88,0000
		Group 3	3	65	6655,000	102,3846
	Anosognosic-apathic (1 slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anosognosic-apathic (1 slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=187) = 6,114130$ $p = 0,0470$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	62	6015,500	97,02419
		Group 2	2	60	5550,000	92,50000
Group 3		3	65	6012,500	92,50000	

2.

TOBOL	Harmonious (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Harmonious (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 6,800571$ $p = 0,0334$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	6017,000	97,04839
	Group 2	2	56	5841,000	97,35000
	Group 3	3	60	5720,000	88,00000
	Anxious (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anxiety (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 7,8914334$ $p = 0,0404$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	4973,000	84,28814
	Group 2	2	56	4945,000	84,73214
	Group 3	3	60	6682,000	98,70000
	Hypochondriac (2nd slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Hypochondriac (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 3,254212$ $p = 0,1965$			
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean
	Group 1	1	59	5016,500	85,02542
	Group 2	2	56	5197,500	92,81250
Group 3	3	60	5186,000	86,43333	
Melancholic (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Melancholic (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 2,29871$ $p = 0,6112$				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
Group 1	1	59	6568,000	93,6774	
Group 2	2	56	6826,500	95,7034	
Group 3	3	60	6496,500	93,2538	

TOBOL

Apathetic (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Apathetic (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =,7644988 p =,6823				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
	Group 1	1	59	5193,500	88,02542
	Group 2	2	56	5018,500	89,61607
Group 3	3	60	5188,000	86,46667	
Neurasthenic (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Neurasthenic (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =2,197971 p =,3332				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
	Group 1	1	59	5074,500	86,00847
	Group 2	2	56	5077,500	90,66964
Group 3	3	60	5248,000	87,46667	
Sensitive (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Sensitive (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =7,015321 p =,0010				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
	Group 1	1	59	6618,500	97,3083
	Group 2	2	56	5239,000	84,5000
Group 3	3	60	6720,500	97,0077	
Egocentric (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Egocentric (2-slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: H (2, N= 175) =1,899422 p =,3869				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
	Group 1	1	59	5191,000	87,98305
	Group 2	2	56	4844,000	86,50000
Group 3	3	60	5365,000	89,41667	

TOBOL

Anosognostic (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anosognostic (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 6,897204$ $p = 0,0349$				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
	Group 1	1	59	5330,500	98,34746
	Group 2	2	56	5099,500	98,06250
Group 3	3	60	4970,000	85,83333	
Ergopathic (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Ergopathic (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,0018849$ $p = 0,9484$				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
	Group 1	1	59	5771,500	93,08871
	Group 2	2	56	5712,000	95,20000
Group 3	3	60	6094,500	93,76154	
Paranoia (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Paranoia (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
	Group 1	1	59	5192,000	88,00000
	Group 2	2	56	4928,000	88,00000
Group 3	3	60	5280,000	88,00000	
Dysphoric (2nd cut-off)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Dysphoric (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$				
	Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
	Group 1	1	59	5192,000	88,00000
	Group 2	2	56	4928,000	88,00000
Group 3	3	60	5280,000	88,00000	

TOBOL	Anxious-neurasthenic (2nd slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anxiety-neurasthenic (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 104,2065$ $p = 0,3100$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	6424,500	95,1048
		Group 2	2	56	6546,500	98,1083
		Group 3	3	60	4707,000	84,50000
	Melancholic and hypochondriac (2nd cut)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Melancholic-hypochondriac (2 slices) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 13,89583$ $p = ,0010$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	4985,500	84,50000
		Group 2	2	56	4732,000	84,50000
		Group 3	3	60	5682,500	94,70833
	Anosognosic-apathic (2nd slice)	Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks; Anasognosic-apathic (2nd slice) (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Independent (grouping) VARIABLE: Diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis test: $H(2, N=175) = 0,000000$ $p = 1,000$				
		Code	Valid	Sum of	Mean	
		Group 1	1	59	5192,000	88,00000
Group 2		2	56	4928,000	88,00000	
Group 3		3	60	5280,000	88,00000	

3.

TOBOL				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 1	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Harmonious (1st cut) & Harmonious (2nd cut)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Anxious (1 cut-off) & Anxious (2 cut-off)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Hypochondriac (1st slice) & Hypochondriac (2nd slice)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Melancholic (1st cut) & Melancholic (2nd cut)	1			
Apathetic (1st slice) & Apathetic (2nd slice)	0			
Neurasthenic (1st slice) & Neurasthenic (2nd slice)	1			

Sensitive (1st cut-off) & Sensitive (2nd cut-off)	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
Egocentric (1st slice) & Egocentric (2nd slice)	2	0,00	1,442343	0,187624
Anosognostic (1st cut-off) & Anosognostic (2nd cut-off)	6	0,00	2,201398	0,027709
Ergopathic (1st slice) & Ergopathic (2nd slice)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Paranoia (1st cut) & Paranoia (2nd cut)	0			
Dysphoric (1st slice) & Dysphoric (2nd slice)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Anxious-neurasthenic (1st cut-off) & Anxious-neurasthenic (2nd cut-off)	6	7,000000	0,733799	0,463072
Melancholic-hypochondriac (1st slice) & Melancholic-hypochondriac (2nd slice)	0			
Anosognostic-apathic (1st slice) & Anosognostic-apathic (2nd slice)	1			

TOBOL

Pair of VARIABLES Group 2	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet278) Marked tests are significant at $p < ,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
Harmonious (1st cut) & Harmonious (2nd cut)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Anxious (1 cut-off) & Anxious (2 cut-off)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Hypochondriac (1st slice) & Hypochondriac (2nd slice)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Melancholic (1st cut) & Melancholic (2nd cut)	0	-	-	-
Apathetic (1st slice) & Apathetic (2nd slice)	2	0,00	1,442343	0,187624
Neurasthenic (1st slice) & Neurasthenic (2nd slice)	1	-	-	-
Sensitive (1st cut-off) & Sensitive (2nd cut-off)	0	-	-	-
Egocentric (1st slice) & Egocentric (2nd slice)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
Anosognostic (1st cut-off) & Anosognostic (2nd cut-off)	5	0,00	2,022600	0,043115
Ergopathic (1st slice) & Ergopathic (2nd slice)	7	0,00	2,366432	0,017961
Paranoia (1st cut) & Paranoia (2nd cut)	2	0,00	1,442343	0,187624
Dysphoric (1st slice) & Dysphoric (2nd slice)	2	0,00	1,442343	0,187624
Anxious-neurasthenic (1st cut-off) & Anxious-neurasthenic (2nd cut-off)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810

TOBOL				
Pair of VARIABLES Group 3	Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (Spreadsheet1_(Recovered)) Marked tests are significant at $p < 0,05000$			
	Valid	T	Z	p-value
D (1st slice) & D (2nd slice)	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
P (1st slice) & P (2nd slice)	4	0,00	1,825742	0,067890
H (1st slice) & H (2nd slice)	0	-	-	-
T (1st slice) & T (2nd slice)	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
AND(1 slice) & AND(2 slice)	1	-	-	-
H(1 cut) & H(2 cut)	1	-	-	-
M(1 slice) & M(2 slice)	0	-	-	-
A (1st splice) & A (2nd slice)	1	-	-	-
C(1 slice) & C (2 slice)	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713
E (1st slice) & E (2nd slice)	1	-	-	-
E (1 slice) & E (2 slice)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
M-I(1 slice) & M-I(2 slice)	3	0,00	1,603567	0,108810
P (1st slice) & P (2nd slice)	2	0,00	1,341641	0,179713

Spearman rank correlation coefficient

1. The Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) – The Subjective Feeling of Loneliness (MSLP (Loneliness) technique)
2. The Integrative Anxiety Test (ITT) – Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale)
3. The Integrative Anxiety Test (ITT) – Fear of Disease Progression Questionnaire (FPSQ)
4. The Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) – A. Langle -C. Ogler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale)
5. The Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) – Life Style Index (LSI)
6. The Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) – Coping Mechanisms Diagnostic Technique (Heim Coping Mechanisms Method)
7. The Integrative Anxiety Test (ITT) - Diagnosable Types of Attitude towards Illness (TOBOL)
8. The Subjective Feeling of Loneliness Scale (MSLP (Loneliness)) – Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale)
9. The Subjective Feeling of Loneliness Questionnaire (MSLP (loneliness)) – Fear of Disease Progression Questionnaire (FPSQ (fears))
10. Methodology for subjective feeling of loneliness (MSLP (loneliness)) – A. Langle – C. Orgler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale)
11. Methodology for subjective feeling of loneliness (MSLP (loneliness)) – Life Style Index (LSI)
12. The subjective feeling of loneliness methodology (MSLP (Loneliness)) – Diagnostic technique of coping mechanisms (Heim Coping Mechanisms Methodology)
13. The subjective feeling of loneliness (MSLP (loneliness)) – Diagnosable Types of Attitude towards Illness (TOBOL)
14. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale) – Fear of Progression of Illness Questionnaire (FPSQ (fears))
15. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale) – A. Langle – C. Orgler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale)
16. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale) – Life style index (LSI)
17. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale) – Coping Mechanisms Diagnostic Technique (Heim Coping Mechanisms Methodology)
18. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Anxiety) – Diagnosable Types of Attitude towards Illness (TOBOL)
19. Fear of disease progression questionnaire (FPSQ (fears)) – A. Langle – C. Orgler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale)
20. Fear of disease progression questionnaire (FPSQ (fears)) – Life style index (LSI)
21. Fear of disease progression questionnaire (FPSQ (fears)) – Coping Mechanisms Diagnostic Technique (Heim Coping Mechanisms Methodology)
22. Fear of disease progression questionnaire (FPSQ (fears)) – Diagnosable Types of Attitudes to Illness (TOBOL)
23. The A. Langle - C. Orgler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale) – Life Style Index (LSI)
24. The A. Langle - C. Orgler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale) – Coping Mechanism Diagnostic Methodology (Heim Coping Mechanism Methodology)
25. The A. Langle - C. Orgler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale) – Diagnosable Types of Attitudes to Illness (TOBOL)
26. Life Style Index (LSI) – Coping Mechanism Diagnostic Methodology (Heim Coping Mechanisms Methodology)
27. Life Style Index (LSI) – Diagnosable Types of Attitudes to Illness (TOBOL)
28. Coping Mechanisms Diagnostic Technique (Heim Coping Mechanisms Method) – Diagnosable Types of

Attitudes to Illness (TOBOL)

1) Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) – Subjective Feeling of Loneliness Method (MSLP (Loneliness))

Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)			
MD pairwise deletedMarked			
correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 1	VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 2
		MSLP (loneliness)	MSLP (loneliness)
		Loneliness	Loneliness
ITT	ED	0,426	0,528
	AST	0,521	0,623
	FOB	0,329	0,431
	OP	-0,134	-0,032
	ST	0,022	0,124
	LT	0,162	0,264

Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)			
MD pairwise deletedMarked			
correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 1	VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 2
		MSLP (loneliness)	MSLP (loneliness)
		Loneliness	Loneliness
ITT	ED	0,436	0,537
	AST	0,531	0,632
	FOB	0,339	0,440
	OP	-0,124	-0,023
	ST	0,032	0,133
	LT	0,172	0,273

Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)			
MD pairwise deleted			
Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 1	VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 2
		MSLP (loneliness)	
		Loneliness	
ITT	ED	0,427	0,416
	AST	0,522	0,511
	FOB	0,330	0,319
	OP	-0,133	-0,144
	ST	0,023	0,012
	LT	0,163	0,152

2) Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) – Hospital Anxiety and Depression (Hospital Anxiety Scale)

Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)					
MD pairwise deleted					
Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
Hospital scale (anxiety)					
		VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 1		VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 2	
		Anxiety	Depression	Anxiety	Depression
ITT	ED	0,415	-0,065	0,518	0,037
	AST	0,351	0,350	0,453	0,452
	FOB	-0,191	0,177	-0,089	0,279
	OP	-0,192	-0,210	-0,090	-0,107
	ST	0,899	-0,073	1,001	0,029
	LT	0,380	0,143	0,482	0,245

Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)					
MD pairwise deletedMarked					
correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
Hospital scale (anxiety)					
		VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 1		VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 2	
		Anxiety	Depression	Anxiety	Depression
ITT	ED	0,425	-0,055	0,526	0,046
	AST	0,361	0,360	0,462	0,461
	FOB	-0,181	0,187	-0,080	0,288
	OP	-0,182	-0,200	-0,081	-0,099
	ST	0,909	-0,063	1,010	0,038
	LT	0,390	0,153	0,491	0,254

Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)					
MD pairwise deletedMarked					
correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
Hospital scale (anxiety)					
		VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 1		VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 2	
		Anxiety	Depression	Anxiety	Depression
ITT	ED	0,416	-0,064	0,405	-0,075
	AST	0,352	0,351	0,341	0,340
	FOB	-0,190	0,178	-0,202	0,167
	OP	-0,191	-0,209	-0,202	-0,220
	ST	0,900	-0,072	0,889	-0,083
	LT	0,381	0,144	0,370	0,132

3) Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) – Fear of Disease Progression (FPSQ) questionnaire

VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
ITT	ED	0,176	0,340	0,280	0,266
	AST	-0,122	-0,039	0,201	0,374
	FOB	0,131	0,095	0,425	0,708
	OP	0,207	0,057	0,180	0,106
	ST	0,063	0,097	0,420	0,440
	LT	0,386	0,555	0,126	0,605

VARIABLE Group 1 Slice 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
ITT	ED	0,278	0,442	0,382	0,368
	AST	-0,019	0,064	0,303	0,477
	FOB	0,233	0,197	0,527	0,810
	OP	0,309	0,160	0,282	0,209
	ST	0,165	0,199	0,522	0,542
	LT	0,488	0,657	0,228	0,707

VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
ITT	ED	0,186	0,350	0,290	0,276
	AST	-0,112	-0,029	0,211	0,384
	FOB	0,141	0,105	0,435	0,718
	OP	0,217	0,068	0,190	0,117
	ST	0,073	0,107	0,430	0,450
	LT	0,396	0,565	0,136	0,615

VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
ITT	ED	0,287	0,451	0,391	0,377
	AST	-0,011	0,072	0,312	0,485
	FOB	0,242	0,206	0,536	0,819
	OP	0,318	0,169	0,291	0,218
	ST	0,174	0,208	0,531	0,551
	LT	0,497	0,666	0,237	0,716

VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at p <.00100			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
ITT	ED	0,177	0,341	0,281	0,267
	AST	-0,121	-0,038	0,202	0,375
	FOB	0,132	0,096	0,426	0,709
	OP	0,208	0,059	0,181	0,108
	ST	0,064	0,098	0,421	0,441
	LT	0,387	0,556	0,127	0,606

VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at p <.00100			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
ITT	ED	0,166	0,330	0,270	0,256
	AST	-0,132	-0,049	0,191	0,364
	FOB	0,121	0,085	0,415	0,698
	OP	0,196	0,047	0,170	0,096
	ST	0,053	0,087	0,409	0,430
	LT	0,376	0,545	0,116	0,595

4) Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) - A. Langle - C. Orgler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale)

VARIABLE Group 1 Stage 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-Transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
ITT	ED	0,169	0,093	0,198	0,205
	AST	0,186	-0,279	-0,157	-0,157
	FOB	0,199	-0,027	-0,153	-0,008
	OP	0,052	-0,138	-0,102	-0,083
	ST	0,164	0,153	0,015	-0,041
	LT	0,147	0,485	0,422	0,451

VARIABLE Group 1 Stage 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-Transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
ITT	ED	0,272	0,195	0,300	0,308
	AST	0,288	-0,177	-0,054	-0,055
	FOB	0,301	0,075	-0,050	0,094
	OP	0,154	-0,036	0,000	0,019
	ST	0,267	0,256	0,117	0,062
	LT	0,249	0,587	0,524	0,553

VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-Transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
ITT	ED	0,179	0,103	0,208	0,216
	AST	0,196	-0,269	-0,146	-0,147
	FOB	0,209	-0,017	-0,143	0,002
	OP	0,062	-0,128	-0,092	-0,073
	ST	0,175	0,163	0,025	-0,031
	LT	0,157	0,495	0,432	0,461

VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-Transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
ITT	ED	0,280	0,204	0,309	0,317
	AST	0,297	-0,168	-0,045	-0,046
	FOB	0,310	0,084	-0,042	0,103
	OP	0,163	-0,027	0,009	0,028
	ST	0,276	0,264	0,126	0,070
	LT	0,258	0,596	0,533	0,562

VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-Transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
ITT	ED	0,170	0,094	0,199	0,207
	AST	0,187	-0,278	-0,155	-0,156
	FOB	0,200	-0,026	-0,152	-0,007
	OP	0,053	-0,137	-0,101	-0,082
	ST	0,166	0,154	0,016	-0,040
	LT	0,148	0,486	0,423	0,452

VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-Transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
ITT	ED	0,159	0,083	0,188	0,195
	AST	0,176	-0,289	-0,167	-0,167
	FOB	0,189	-0,037	-0,163	-0,018
	OP	0,042	-0,148	-0,112	-0,093
	ST	0,154	0,143	0,005	-0,051
	LT	0,137	0,475	0,412	0,440

5) Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) – Life Style Index (LSI)

VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formation
ITT	ED	0,194	0,120	0,283	0,081	0,071	0,372	0,369	0,501
	AST	0,000	0,071	0,346	-0,112	-0,184	-0,296	-0,148	-0,113
	FOB	0,093	0,173	0,498	0,011	-0,189	-0,059	-0,017	-0,077
	OP	-0,056	0,044	0,417	-0,151	0,289	-0,218	-0,340	0,251
	ST	-0,003	0,031	-0,275	0,261	0,256	0,405	0,095	-0,067
	LT	0,152	0,216	-0,298	0,296	0,269	0,312	0,246	0,314

VARIABLE Group 1 Slice 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formation
ITT	ED	0,296	0,222	0,385	0,183	0,173	0,474	0,471	0,603
	AST	0,103	0,173	0,448	-0,010	-0,082	-0,194	-0,045	-0,011
	FOB	0,195	0,275	0,601	0,113	-0,087	0,043	0,085	0,026
	OP	0,046	0,146	0,519	-0,049	0,392	-0,116	-0,237	0,353
	ST	0,099	0,133	-0,173	0,364	0,358	0,507	0,197	0,036
	LT	0,254	0,318	-0,196	0,399	0,371	0,414	0,349	0,416

VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formation
ITT	ED	0,204	0,130	0,293	0,091	0,081	0,382	0,379	0,511
	AST	0,011	0,081	0,356	-0,102	-0,174	-0,286	-0,138	-0,103
	FOB	0,103	0,183	0,509	0,021	-0,179	-0,049	-0,007	-0,066
	OP	-0,046	0,054	0,427	-0,141	0,299	-0,208	-0,330	0,261
	ST	0,007	0,041	-0,265	0,271	0,266	0,415	0,105	-0,057
	LT	0,162	0,226	-0,288	0,306	0,279	0,322	0,256	0,324

VARIABLE Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formation
ITT	ED	0,305	0,231	0,394	0,192	0,182	0,483	0,480	0,612
	AST	0,112	0,182	0,457	-0,001	-0,073	-0,185	-0,037	-0,002
	FOB	0,204	0,284	0,610	0,122	-0,078	0,052	0,094	0,035
	OP	0,055	0,155	0,528	-0,040	0,400	-0,107	-0,229	0,362
	ST	0,108	0,142	-0,164	0,372	0,367	0,516	0,206	0,045
	LT	0,263	0,327	-0,187	0,407	0,380	0,423	0,357	0,425

VARIABLE		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)							
Group 3		MD pairwise deletedMarked							
Cut 1		correlations are significant at p <.00100							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formation
ITT	ED	0,195	0,121	0,284	0,082	0,072	0,373	0,370	0,502
	AST	0,002	0,072	0,347	-0,111	-0,183	-0,295	-0,147	-0,112
	FOB	0,094	0,174	0,500	0,012	-0,188	-0,058	-0,016	-0,075
	OP	-0,055	0,045	0,418	-0,150	0,290	-0,217	-0,339	0,252
	ST	-0,002	0,032	-0,274	0,262	0,257	0,406	0,096	-0,065
	LT	0,153	0,217	-0,297	0,297	0,270	0,313	0,247	0,315

VARIABLE		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)							
Group 3		MD pairwise deletedMarked							
Cut 2		correlations are significant at p <.00100							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formation
ITT	ED	0,184	0,110	0,273	0,071	0,061	0,362	0,359	0,491
	AST	-0,010	0,061	0,335	-0,122	-0,194	-0,306	-0,158	-0,124
	FOB	0,082	0,163	0,488	0,001	-0,199	-0,070	-0,027	-0,087
	OP	-0,066	0,034	0,407	-0,161	0,279	-0,228	-0,350	0,241
	ST	-0,013	0,021	-0,285	0,251	0,245	0,394	0,085	-0,077
	LT	0,142	0,206	-0,308	0,286	0,259	0,302	0,236	0,304

6) Integral Anxiety Test (ITT) – Coping Mechanisms Diagnostic Technique (Heim Coping Mechanisms Method)

VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$ Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Альтруизм
		ITT	ED	0,191	-0,655	0,130	0,230	0,123	0,229
	AST	-0,036	-0,537	0,123	0,150	-0,227	-0,182	-0,022	-0,133
	FOB	0,130	-0,567	0,147	0,105	0,002	-0,091	0,116	-0,170
	OP	0,037	-0,972	0,192	0,109	0,034	-0,141	0,217	-0,100
	ST	-0,389	-0,389	0,031	0,018	-0,001	0,175	0,326	0,037
	LT	-0,514	-0,491	0,153	0,162	-0,105	-0,459	0,286	0,164

VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$ Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
		ITT	ED	0,103	-0,104	0,111	-0,032	0,077	-0,034	0,002	0,028
	AST	0,607	-0,072	-0,002	-0,187	-0,114	-0,164	-0,083	-0,128	-0,083	-0,196
	FOB	0,517	-0,050	-0,115	-0,060	-0,026	-0,138	-0,163	-0,112	0,055	-0,160
	OP	0,682	-0,143	-0,167	-0,195	-0,134	-0,157	-0,105	-0,181	-0,190	-0,054
	ST	0,312	0,363	0,406	-0,050	-0,065	-0,179	-0,031	-0,072	0,054	-0,068
	LT	0,090	0,250	0,381	0,195	0,301	0,126	0,209	0,054	0,166	0,001

VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at p <.00100							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
ITT	ED	0,051	-0,076	-0,080	0,579	0,012	0,167	0,045	0,045
	AST	-0,158	-0,018	-0,081	-0,385	0,376	-0,120	0,199	-0,095
	FOB	-0,150	-0,165	-0,171	-0,239	-0,113	-0,197	0,224	0,013
	OP	-0,376	-0,330	-0,062	-0,308	0,271	-0,111	0,338	0,131
	ST	0,278	-0,067	-0,094	-0,001	0,508	0,063	0,153	-0,312
	LT	0,295	-0,701	0,228	0,378	0,486	0,032	0,243	-0,308

VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at p <.00100							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
ITT	ED	0,294	-0,553	0,232	0,332	0,225	0,331	0,245	0,274
	AST	0,067	-0,435	0,225	0,252	-0,125	-0,079	0,080	-0,031
	FOB	0,232	-0,464	0,249	0,207	0,104	0,011	0,218	-0,068
	OP	0,139	-0,870	0,294	0,211	0,136	-0,038	0,319	0,002
	ST	-0,286	-0,287	0,134	0,120	0,101	0,278	0,428	0,139
	LT	-0,412	-0,389	0,255	0,264	-0,003	-0,357	0,388	0,266

VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$ Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self- incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
		ITT	ED	0,205	-0,001	0,213	0,070	0,179	0,068	0,104	0,131
	AST	0,710	0,030	0,100	-0,085	-0,012	-0,061	0,019	-0,026	0,019	-0,094
	FOB	0,619	0,053	-0,013	0,042	0,076	-0,036	-0,061	-0,010	0,157	-0,057
	OP	0,784	-0,041	-0,064	-0,092	-0,032	-0,054	-0,003	-0,078	-0,087	0,048
	ST	0,414	0,465	0,508	0,052	0,037	-0,077	0,071	0,031	0,156	0,034
	LT	0,192	0,352	0,483	0,298	0,403	0,229	0,311	0,156	0,268	0,103

VARIABLE Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$ Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousnes s	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensatio n	Distraction	Constructive activity
		ITT	ED	0,153	0,026	0,022	0,681	0,114	0,269
	AST	-0,056	0,084	0,021	-0,282	0,479	-0,018	0,301	0,007
	FOB	-0,048	-0,063	-0,069	-0,137	-0,011	-0,095	0,326	0,115
	OP	-0,274	-0,228	0,040	-0,206	0,374	-0,009	0,440	0,234
	ST	0,380	0,035	0,008	0,101	0,610	0,165	0,256	-0,210
	LT	0,397	-0,598	0,330	0,480	0,588	0,135	0,345	-0,206

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)							
		MD pairwise deleted							
		Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control;	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
ITT	ED	0,202	-0,645	0,140	0,240	0,133	0,239	0,153	0,182
	AST	-0,025	-0,527	0,133	0,160	-0,217	-0,171	-0,012	-0,123
	FOB	0,140	-0,557	0,157	0,115	0,012	-0,081	0,126	-0,160
	OP	0,047	-0,962	0,202	0,119	0,044	-0,131	0,227	-0,090
	ST	-0,378	-0,379	0,041	0,028	0,009	0,185	0,336	0,047
	LT	-0,504	-0,481	0,163	0,172	-0,095	-0,449	0,296	0,174

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)									
		MD pairwise deleted									
		Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
ITT	ED	0,113	-0,094	0,121	-0,022	0,087	-0,024	0,012	0,038	0,039	-0,097
	AST	0,618	-0,062	0,008	-0,177	-0,104	-0,154	-0,073	-0,118	-0,073	-0,186
	FOB	0,527	-0,039	-0,105	-0,050	-0,016	-0,128	-0,153	-0,102	0,065	-0,149
	OP	0,692	-0,133	-0,156	-0,185	-0,124	-0,146	-0,095	-0,171	-0,179	-0,044
	ST	0,322	0,373	0,416	-0,040	-0,055	-0,169	-0,021	-0,061	0,064	-0,058
	LT	0,100	0,260	0,391	0,206	0,311	0,137	0,219	0,064	0,176	0,011

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
ITT	ED	0,061	-0,066	-0,070	0,589	0,022	0,177	0,055	0,055
	AST	-0,148	-0,008	-0,071	-0,375	0,387	-0,110	0,209	-0,085
	FOB	-0,140	-0,155	-0,161	-0,229	-0,103	-0,187	0,234	0,023
	OP	-0,366	-0,320	-0,052	-0,298	0,281	-0,101	0,348	0,141
	ST	0,288	-0,057	-0,084	0,009	0,518	0,073	0,164	-0,302
	LT	0,305	-0,691	0,238	0,388	0,496	0,043	0,253	-0,298

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
ITT	ED	0,303	-0,544	0,241	0,341	0,234	0,340	0,254	0,283
	AST	0,076	-0,426	0,234	0,261	-0,116	-0,070	0,089	-0,022
	FOB	0,241	-0,456	0,258	0,216	0,113	0,020	0,227	-0,059
	OP	0,148	-0,861	0,303	0,220	0,145	-0,030	0,328	0,011
	ST	-0,277	-0,278	0,142	0,129	0,110	0,286	0,437	0,148
	LT	-0,403	-0,380	0,264	0,273	0,006	-0,348	0,397	0,275

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)									
		MD pairwise deleted									
		Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
ITT	ED	0,214	0,007	0,222	0,079	0,188	0,077	0,113	0,140	0,140	0,004
	AST	0,719	0,039	0,109	-0,076	-0,003	-0,053	0,028	-0,017	0,028	-0,085
	FOB	0,628	0,062	-0,004	0,051	0,085	-0,027	-0,052	-0,001	0,166	-0,048
	OP	0,793	-0,032	-0,055	-0,084	-0,023	-0,045	0,006	-0,070	-0,078	0,057
	ST	0,423	0,474	0,517	0,061	0,046	-0,068	0,080	0,040	0,165	0,043
	LT	0,201	0,361	0,492	0,307	0,412	0,238	0,320	0,165	0,277	0,112

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)							
		MD pairwise deleted							
		Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
ITT	ED	0,162	0,035	0,031	0,690	0,123	0,278	0,156	0,156
	AST	-0,047	0,093	0,030	-0,274	0,488	-0,009	0,310	0,016
	FOB	-0,039	-0,054	-0,060	-0,128	-0,002	-0,086	0,335	0,124
	OP	-0,265	-0,219	0,049	-0,197	0,382	0,000	0,449	0,242
	ST	0,389	0,044	0,017	0,110	0,619	0,174	0,265	-0,201
	LT	0,406	-0,590	0,339	0,489	0,597	0,144	0,354	-0,197

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
ITT	ED	0,193	-0,654	0,131	0,231	0,124	0,230	0,144	0,173
	AST	-0,034	-0,536	0,124	0,151	-0,226	-0,180	-0,021	-0,132
	FOB	0,131	-0,566	0,148	0,106	0,003	-0,090	0,117	-0,169
	OP	0,038	-0,971	0,193	0,110	0,035	-0,140	0,218	-0,099
	ST	-0,387	-0,388	0,032	0,019	0,000	0,176	0,327	0,038
	LT	-0,513	-0,490	0,154	0,163	-0,104	-0,458	0,287	0,165

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
ITT	ED	0,104	-0,103	0,112	-0,031	0,078	-0,033	0,003	0,030	0,030	-0,106
	AST	0,609	-0,071	-0,001	-0,186	-0,113	-0,163	-0,082	-0,127	-0,082	-0,195
	FOB	0,518	-0,048	-0,114	-0,059	-0,025	-0,137	-0,162	-0,111	0,056	-0,158
	OP	0,683	-0,142	-0,165	-0,194	-0,133	-0,155	-0,104	-0,180	-0,188	-0,053
	ST	0,313	0,364	0,407	-0,049	-0,064	-0,178	-0,030	-0,070	0,055	-0,067
	LT	0,091	0,251	0,382	0,197	0,302	0,128	0,210	0,055	0,167	0,002

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
ITT	ED	0,052	-0,075	-0,079	0,580	0,013	0,168	0,046	0,046
	AST	-0,157	-0,017	-0,080	-0,384	0,378	-0,119	0,200	-0,094
	FOB	-0,149	-0,164	-0,170	-0,238	-0,112	-0,196	0,225	0,014
	OP	-0,375	-0,329	-0,061	-0,307	0,272	-0,110	0,339	0,132
	ST	0,279	-0,066	-0,093	0,000	0,509	0,064	0,155	-0,311
	LT	0,296	-0,700	0,229	0,379	0,487	0,034	0,244	-0,307

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem, analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
ITT	ED	0,181	-0,665	0,120	0,220	0,113	0,219	0,133	0,162
	AST	-0,046	-0,548	0,113	0,140	-0,237	-0,192	-0,032	-0,143
	FOB	0,120	-0,577	0,137	0,095	-0,008	-0,101	0,106	-0,180
	OP	0,027	-0,982	0,182	0,099	0,023	-0,151	0,207	-0,111
	ST	-0,399	-0,399	0,021	0,008	-0,011	0,165	0,316	0,027
	LT	-0,524	-0,501	0,143	0,151	-0,115	-0,469	0,276	0,154

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
ITT	ED	0,093	-0,114	0,101	-0,042	0,067	-0,044	-0,008	0,018	0,019	-0,117
	AST	0,597	-0,082	-0,012	-0,197	-0,124	-0,174	-0,093	-0,138	-0,093	-0,206
	FOB	0,507	-0,060	-0,125	-0,070	-0,036	-0,148	-0,173	-0,122	0,045	-0,170
	OP	0,672	-0,153	-0,177	-0,205	-0,144	-0,167	-0,115	-0,191	-0,200	-0,064
	ST	0,302	0,353	0,395	-0,060	-0,075	-0,189	-0,041	-0,082	0,044	-0,078
	LT	0,080	0,240	0,371	0,185	0,291	0,116	0,199	0,044	0,156	-0,009

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
ITT	ED	0,040	-0,086	-0,090	0,569	0,002	0,156	0,035	0,035
	AST	-0,168	-0,029	-0,091	-0,395	0,366	-0,130	0,188	-0,105
	FOB	-0,160	-0,175	-0,181	-0,249	-0,123	-0,208	0,214	0,003
	OP	-0,386	-0,340	-0,072	-0,318	0,261	-0,121	0,328	0,121
	ST	0,268	-0,077	-0,104	-0,011	0,498	0,053	0,143	-0,322
	LT	0,285	-0,711	0,217	0,367	0,476	0,022	0,233	-0,319

7) Integrative Anxiety Test (ITT) – Diagnosable Types of Attitudes towards Illness (TOBOL)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathic	Sensitive (C)
ITT	ED	-0,193	0,425	0,293	0,305	0,077	0,568	0,557	0,574	-0,162	-0,109	0,005	0,617
	AST	-0,119	0,612	-0,013	0,161	-0,127	-0,196	0,333	-0,152	-0,195	-0,030	-0,109	0,606
	FOB	-0,382	0,573	0,459	-0,176	-0,094	-0,096	-0,153	-0,187	-0,105	-0,021	-0,030	0,405
	OP	-0,120	0,569	0,011	-0,208	-0,142	-0,148	0,479	-0,160	-0,134	-0,026	-0,021	0,534
	ST	-0,210	0,536	0,452	-0,014	0,073	-0,117	0,278	-0,134	-0,224	-0,547	-0,026	0,627
	LT	0,098	0,546	0,202	0,060	0,076	0,066	0,302	0,190	0,153	0,385	-0,147	0,686

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathic	Sensitive (C)
ITT	ED	-0,091	0,527	0,395	0,407	0,179	0,670	0,660	0,676	-0,059	-0,007	0,107	0,719
	AST	-0,017	0,714	0,089	0,264	-0,025	-0,094	0,435	-0,050	-0,093	0,072	-0,007	0,708
	FOB	-0,180	0,675	0,561	-0,074	0,008	0,006	-0,050	-0,085	-0,003	0,081	0,072	0,508
	OP	-0,018	0,672	0,113	-0,106	-0,040	-0,046	0,581	-0,057	-0,031	0,076	0,081	0,637
	ST	-0,107	0,638	0,554	0,088	0,175	-0,015	0,381	-0,032	-0,122	-0,444	0,076	0,729
	LT	0,201	0,648	0,304	0,162	0,178	0,169	0,404	0,292	0,255	0,487	-0,044	0,788

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
ITT	ED	-0,183	0,435	0,303	0,315	0,087	0,578	0,568	0,584	-0,152	-0,099	0,015	0,627
	AST	-0,109	0,622	-0,003	0,171	-0,117	-0,186	0,343	-0,142	-0,185	-0,020	-0,099	0,616
	FOB	-0,172	0,583	0,469	-0,166	-0,084	-0,086	-0,143	-0,177	-0,095	-0,011	-0,020	0,416
	OP	-0,110	0,580	0,021	-0,198	-0,132	-0,138	0,489	-0,149	-0,123	-0,016	-0,011	0,545
	ST	-0,200	0,546	0,462	-0,004	0,083	-0,107	0,289	-0,124	-0,214	-0,537	-0,016	0,637
	LT	0,109	0,556	0,212	0,070	0,086	0,076	0,312	0,200	0,163	0,395	-0,137	0,696

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
ITT	ED	-0,082	0,536	0,404	0,416	0,188	0,679	0,669	0,685	-0,051	0,002	0,116	0,728
	AST	-0,008	0,723	0,098	0,273	-0,016	-0,085	0,444	-0,041	-0,084	0,081	0,002	0,717
	FOB	-0,171	0,684	0,570	-0,065	0,017	0,015	-0,042	-0,076	0,006	0,090	0,081	0,517
	OP	-0,009	0,681	0,122	-0,097	-0,031	-0,037	0,590	-0,048	-0,022	0,085	0,090	0,646
	ST	-0,099	0,647	0,563	0,097	0,184	-0,006	0,390	-0,023	-0,113	-0,436	0,085	0,738
	LT	0,210	0,657	0,313	0,171	0,187	0,177	0,413	0,301	0,264	0,496	-0,036	0,797

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
ITT	ED	-0,192	0,426	0,294	0,306	0,078	0,569	0,559	0,575	-0,161	-0,108	0,006	0,618
	AST	-0,118	0,613	-0,012	0,163	-0,126	-0,195	0,334	-0,151	-0,194	-0,029	-0,108	0,607
	FOB	-0,381	0,574	0,460	-0,175	-0,093	-0,095	-0,152	-0,186	-0,104	-0,020	-0,029	0,407
	OP	-0,119	0,571	0,012	-0,207	-0,141	-0,147	0,480	-0,158	-0,132	-0,025	-0,020	0,536
	ST	-0,209	0,537	0,453	-0,013	0,074	-0,116	0,280	-0,133	-0,223	-0,546	-0,025	0,628
	LT	0,100	0,547	0,203	0,061	0,077	0,067	0,303	0,191	0,154	0,386	-0,146	0,687

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
ITT	ED	-0,204	0,415	0,283	0,295	0,067	0,558	0,547	0,563	-0,172	-0,119	-0,005	0,607
	AST	-0,129	0,601	-0,024	0,151	-0,138	-0,206	0,323	-0,162	-0,205	-0,041	-0,119	0,596
	FOB	-0,392	0,562	0,448	-0,186	-0,104	-0,107	-0,163	-0,197	-0,115	-0,032	-0,041	0,395
	OP	-0,130	0,559	0,000	-0,218	-0,152	-0,158	0,469	-0,170	-0,144	-0,036	-0,032	0,524
	ST	-0,220	0,525	0,442	-0,024	0,063	-0,127	0,268	-0,144	-0,234	-0,557	-0,036	0,617
	LT	0,088	0,535	0,192	0,050	0,065	0,056	0,292	0,179	0,143	0,375	-0,157	0,676

8) The Subjective Feeling of Loneliness (SMLS (Loneliness)) – Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$	
		Hospital scale (anxiety)	
		Anxiety	depression
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,563	0,432

Variable Group 1 Slice 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$	
		Hospital scale (anxiety)	
		Anxiety	depression
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,665	0,534

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$	
		Hospital scale (anxiety)	
		Anxiety	depression
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,573	0,442

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$	
		Hospital scale (anxiety)	
		Anxiety	depression
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,674	0,543

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$	
		Hospital scale (anxiety)	
		Anxiety	depression
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,564	0,433

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$	
		Hospital scale (anxiety)	
		Anxiety	depression
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,553	0,422

9) The subjective feeling of loneliness (MSLP (loneliness) methodology) – Fear of Disease Progression Questionnaire (FPSQ (fears))

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family Fears	Fear of Loss of autonomy
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,436	0,262	-0,015	-0,068

Variable Group 1 Slice 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family Fears	Fear of Loss of autonomy
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,539	0,364	0,087	0,034

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family Fears	Fear of Loss of autonomy
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,447	0,272	-0,005	-0,058

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family Fears	Fear of Loss of autonomy
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,548	0,373	0,096	0,043

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family Fears	Fear of Loss of autonomy
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,438	0,263	-0,014	-0,067

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family Fears	Fear of Loss of autonomy
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,426	0,252	-0,025	-0,078

10) The subjective feeling of loneliness methodology (MSLP (Loneliness)) - A. Langle - C. Orgler Existence Scale (LES)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,316	0,440	0,473	0,148

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,214	0,543	0,575	0,250

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,306	0,451	0,483	0,158

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,205	0,552	0,584	0,259

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,315	0,442	0,474	0,149

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,326	0,430	0,462	0,138

11) The subjective feeling of loneliness method (MSLP (Loneliness)) – Life Style Index (LSI)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualization	Reactive formations
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,067	0,164	-0,161	0,142	0,422	0,283	0,277	0,019

Variable Group 1 Slice 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualization	Reactive formations
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,169	0,266	-0,058	0,244	0,524	0,385	0,379	0,122

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualization	Reactive formations
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,077	0,174	-0,150	0,152	0,432	0,293	0,287	0,030

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualization	Reactive formations
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,178	0,275	-0,049	0,253	0,533	0,394	0,388	0,131

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualization	Reactive formations
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,068	0,165	-0,159	0,143	0,423	0,284	0,278	0,021

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualization	Reactive formations
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,057	0,154	-0,171	0,132	0,412	0,273	0,266	0,009

12) The subjective feeling of loneliness method (MSLP (Loneliness)) – Coping Mechanisms Diagnostic Technique (Heim Coping Mechanisms Method)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Installing your own values	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,550	-0,341	0,012	-0,023	0,147	-0,414	0,258	0,036

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,058	0,886	0,459	0,553	0,557	0,224	0,391	0,394	0,425	0,509

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,079	0,178	0,042	0,483	0,555	0,036	-0,087	0,691

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,448	-0,239	0,114	0,079	0,250	-0,312	0,360	0,139

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,160	0,988	0,562	0,655	0,659	0,326	0,494	0,496	0,527	0,612

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiosity	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,181	0,281	0,144	0,585	0,658	0,138	0,015	0,793

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,540	-0,331	0,022	-0,013	0,157	-0,404	0,268	0,046

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,068	0,896	0,470	0,563	0,567	0,234	0,402	0,404	0,435	0,520

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours									
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity		
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,089	0,189	0,052	0,493	0,566	0,046	-0,077	0,701		

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Adaptive coping behaviours									
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism		
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,439	-0,230	0,123	0,088	0,258	-0,303	0,369	0,147		

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,169	0,997	0,571	0,664	0,668	0,335	0,503	0,505	0,536	0,621

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,190	0,290	0,153	0,594	0,667	0,147	0,024	0,802

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,549	-0,340	0,013	-0,022	0,148	-0,413	0,259	0,037

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,059	0,887	0,461	0,554	0,558	0,225	0,393	0,395	0,426	0,511

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiosity	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,080	0,180	0,043	0,484	0,557	0,037	-0,086	0,692

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	-0,561	-0,351	0,002	-0,034	0,137	-0,425	0,248	0,026

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,048	0,875	0,449	0,543	0,547	0,214	0,381	0,384	0,415	0,499

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,069	0,168	0,032	0,473	0,545	0,026	-0,097	0,681

13) The subjective feeling of loneliness method (MSLP (Loneliness)) – Diagnosable Types of Attitudes towards Illness (TOBOL)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathic	Sensitive (C)
		MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,139	0,119	0,095	0,628	0,182	0,440	0,192	0,109	0,165	0,277

Variable Group 1 Slice 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,141	0,222	0,197	0,731	0,285	0,542	0,294	0,211	0,267	0,379	0,226	0,604

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,149	0,129	0,105	0,638	0,192	0,450	0,202	0,119	0,175	0,287	0,133	0,512

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,150	0,230	0,206	0,739	0,294	0,551	0,303	0,220	0,276	0,388	0,234	0,613

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,140	0,120	0,096	0,629	0,184	0,441	0,193	0,110	0,166	0,278	0,124	0,503

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
MSLP (loneliness)	Loneliness	0,129	0,109	0,085	0,618	0,172	0,430	0,182	0,099	0,154	0,267	0,113	0,492

14) Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale) – Fear of Disease Progression Progression Questionnaire (FPSQ (fear))

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at p <,00100			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,329	0,722	0,271	0,097
	depression	0,077	0,469	0,065	0,007

Variable Group 1 Slice 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at p <,00100			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,431	0,824	0,373	0,199
	depression	0,180	0,571	0,167	0,109

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at p <,00100			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,339	0,732	0,281	0,107
	depression	0,087	0,479	0,075	0,017

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,440	0,833	0,382	0,208
	depression	0,188	0,580	0,176	0,118

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,330	0,723	0,272	0,098
	depression	0,078	0,470	0,066	0,008

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		FPSQ (fears)			
		General level of fear of disease progression	Emotional responses	Family fears	Fear of loss of autonomy
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,319	0,712	0,261	0,086
	depression	0,067	0,459	0,055	-0,004

15) Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale) - A. Langle - C. Orgler Existence Scale
(Langle Existence Scale)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,144	0,550	0,723	0,417
	depression	-0,307	0,352	0,580	0,126

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,042	0,652	0,825	0,520
	depression	-0,205	0,454	0,682	0,228

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,134	0,560	0,733	0,427
	depression	-0,297	0,362	0,590	0,136

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,033	0,661	0,834	0,528
	depression	-0,196	0,463	0,691	0,237

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,143	0,551	0,724	0,418
	depression	-0,306	0,353	0,581	0,127

Variable Group 3 cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,154	0,540	0,712	0,407
	depression	-0,317	0,342	0,570	0,116

16) Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale) – Life style index (LSI)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,105	0,277	-0,603	0,268	0,578	0,387	0,477	0,430
	depression	0,188	-0,080	-0,164	0,129	0,469	0,394	0,273	0,173

Variable Group 1 Slice 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,208	0,379	-0,501	0,370	0,680	0,490	0,580	0,532
	depression	0,290	0,023	-0,061	0,231	0,571	0,497	0,375	0,276

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,115	0,287	-0,593	0,278	0,588	0,397	0,488	0,440
	depression	0,198	-0,069	-0,154	0,139	0,479	0,404	0,283	0,183

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,216	0,388	-0,492	0,379	0,689	0,498	0,589	0,541
	depression	0,299	0,032	-0,053	0,240	0,580	0,505	0,384	0,284

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,106	0,278	-0,602	0,269	0,579	0,388	0,479	0,431
	depression	0,189	-0,078	-0,163	0,130	0,470	0,395	0,274	0,174

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,095	0,266	-0,613	0,258	0,568	0,377	0,467	0,420
	depression	0,178	-0,090	-0,174	0,119	0,459	0,384	0,263	0,163

17) Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Hospital Anxiety Scale) - Coping Mechanisms Diagnostic Technique (Heim Coping Mechanisms Method)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,520	-0,307	-0,684	0,155	0,158	-0,860	0,190	0,139
	depression	-0,255	-0,243	-0,099	0,057	0,197	0,112	0,183	0,175

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,123	0,450	0,795	0,117	0,814	0,005	0,758	0,764	0,785	0,783
	depression	-0,047	0,359	0,484	0,173	0,640	0,133	0,516	0,061	0,066	0,203

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiosity	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,034	0,044	0,080	0,914	0,889	0,040	0,097	0,208
	depression	0,110	0,031	0,085	0,157	0,125	0,073	0,480	0,123

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,417	-0,205	-0,582	0,257	0,260	-0,758	0,292	0,241
	depression	-0,153	-0,141	0,003	0,159	0,299	0,215	0,285	0,278

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,225	0,552	0,897	0,220	0,916	0,107	0,860	0,866	0,887	0,885
	depression	0,055	0,461	0,587	0,275	0,742	0,236	0,618	0,163	0,168	0,306

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours									
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity		
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,136	0,146	0,182	1,016	0,991	0,142	0,199	0,311		
	depression	0,212	0,134	0,187	0,259	0,227	0,176	0,582	0,226		

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Adaptive coping behaviours									
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism		
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,509	-0,297	-0,674	0,165	0,168	-0,850	0,200	0,149		
	depression	-0,245	-0,233	-0,089	0,067	0,207	0,123	0,193	0,186		

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,133	0,460	0,805	0,127	0,824	0,015	0,768	0,774	0,795	0,793
	depression	-0,037	0,369	0,494	0,183	0,650	0,143	0,526	0,071	0,076	0,214

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,044	0,054	0,090	0,924	0,899	0,050	0,107	0,219
	depression	0,120	0,042	0,095	0,167	0,135	0,084	0,490	0,134

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,408	-0,196	-0,573	0,266	0,269	-0,749	0,301	0,250
	depression	-0,144	-0,132	0,012	0,168	0,308	0,224	0,294	0,287

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,234	0,561	0,906	0,228	0,925	0,116	0,869	0,875	0,896	0,894
	depression	0,064	0,470	0,595	0,284	0,751	0,244	0,627	0,172	0,177	0,315

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiosity	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,145	0,155	0,191	1,025	1,000	0,151	0,208	0,320
	depression	0,221	0,143	0,196	0,268	0,236	0,185	0,591	0,235

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,518	-0,306	-0,683	0,156	0,159	-0,859	0,191	0,140
	depression	-0,254	-0,242	-0,098	0,058	0,198	0,114	0,184	0,177

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,124	0,451	0,796	0,118	0,815	0,006	0,759	0,765	0,786	0,784
	depression	-0,046	0,360	0,485	0,174	0,641	0,134	0,517	0,062	0,067	0,205

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,035	0,045	0,081	0,915	0,890	0,041	0,098	0,210
	depression	0,111	0,033	0,086	0,158	0,126	0,075	0,481	0,125

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	-0,530	-0,317	-0,694	0,145	0,148	-0,870	0,179	0,129
	depression	-0,265	-0,253	-0,109	0,047	0,187	0,102	0,172	0,165

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Humility	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,113	0,440	0,785	0,107	0,804	-0,006	0,748	0,754	0,775	0,773
	depression	-0,057	0,349	0,474	0,162	0,629	0,123	0,506	0,051	0,055	0,193

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,024	0,033	0,070	0,904	0,879	0,030	0,087	0,198
	depression	0,100	0,021	0,074	0,147	0,115	0,063	0,470	0,113

18) Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Hospital Anxiety Scale) – Diagnosable Types of Attitudes to Illness (TOBOL)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)
		Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,181	0,601	0,386	0,110	0,029	0,004	0,499	0,049	0,111	0,596
depression	0,175		0,166	0,097	0,756	0,149	0,110	0,155	0,031	0,148	0,123	0,196	0,592

Variable Group 1 Slice 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatihic	Sensitive (C)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,083	0,704	0,488	0,212	0,131	0,107	0,601	0,151	0,213	0,698	-0,014	0,653
	depression	0,177	0,268	0,199	0,858	0,251	0,213	0,258	0,133	0,250	0,226	0,298	0,694

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatihic	Sensitive (C)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,191	0,612	0,396	0,120	0,039	0,014	0,509	0,059	0,121	0,606	-0,106	0,561
	depression	0,185	0,176	0,107	0,766	0,159	0,121	0,165	0,041	0,158	0,133	0,206	0,602

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatihic	Sensitive (C)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,192	0,713	0,497	0,221	0,140	0,115	0,610	0,160	0,222	0,707	-0,005	0,662
	depression	0,086	0,277	0,208	0,867	0,260	0,222	0,266	0,142	0,259	0,234	0,307	0,703

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,182	0,603	0,387	0,111	0,030	0,005	0,500	0,050	0,112	0,597	-0,115	0,552
	depression	0,176	0,167	0,098	0,757	0,150	0,112	0,156	0,032	0,149	0,124	0,197	0,593

Variable Γρυννα 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
Hospital scale (anxiety)	anxiety	0,171	0,591	0,376	0,100	0,019	-0,006	0,489	0,039	0,101	0,586	-0,126	0,541
	depression	0,165	0,156	0,087	0,746	0,139	0,100	0,145	0,021	0,137	0,113	0,186	0,582

19) Fear of Disease Progression Questionnaire (FPSQ (fears)) – A. Langle - C. Orgler (Langle Existence Scale)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,116	0,374	0,534	0,448
	Emotional responses	0,118	0,518	0,740	0,534
	Family fears	0,379	0,225	0,084	0,479
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,480	0,191	0,228	0,234

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,218	0,476	0,637	0,550
	Emotional responses	0,220	0,621	0,842	0,636
	Family fears	0,481	0,327	0,187	0,581
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,582	0,293	0,330	0,336

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,126	0,384	0,545	0,458
	Emotional responses	0,128	0,528	0,750	0,544
	Family fears	0,389	0,235	0,094	0,489
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,490	0,201	0,238	0,244

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,227	0,485	0,646	0,559
	Emotional responses	0,229	0,629	0,851	0,645
	Family fears	0,490	0,336	0,195	0,590
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,591	0,302	0,339	0,345

VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at p <.00100			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,117	0,375	0,536	0,449
	Emotional responses	0,119	0,519	0,741	0,535
	Family fears	0,380	0,226	0,085	0,480
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,481	0,192	0,229	0,235

VARIABLE Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at p <.00100			
		Langle's Existence Scale			
		Self-steering (SD)	Self-transcendence (ST)	Freedom (F)	Responsibility (V)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,106	0,364	0,524	0,438
	Emotional responses	0,108	0,508	0,730	0,524
	Family fears	0,369	0,215	0,074	0,469
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,470	0,181	0,218	0,223

20) Fear of Disease Progression Questionnaire (FPSQ (fears)) – Life style index (LSI)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,343	0,329	-0,275	0,315	0,289	0,270	0,146	0,186
	Emotional responses	0,169	0,145	0,189	0,143	0,425	0,332	0,416	0,669
	Family fears	0,001	-0,005	-0,333	0,336	0,157	0,162	0,150	0,108
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,181	0,345	0,589	0,086	0,057	0,123	0,057	0,051

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,445	0,431	-0,173	0,417	0,392	0,372	0,249	0,288
	Emotional responses	0,272	0,247	0,291	0,245	0,527	0,434	0,519	0,771
	Family fears	0,103	0,098	-0,231	0,439	0,259	0,264	0,252	0,210
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,283	0,447	0,692	0,188	0,159	0,225	0,159	0,153

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
FPSQ (страхи)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,353	0,339	-0,265	0,325	0,299	0,280	0,156	0,196
	Emotional responses	0,180	0,155	0,199	0,153	0,435	0,342	0,426	0,679
	Family fears	0,011	0,006	-0,323	0,347	0,167	0,172	0,160	0,118
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,191	0,355	0,600	0,096	0,067	0,133	0,067	0,061

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,454	0,440	-0,164	0,426	0,400	0,381	0,257	0,297
	Emotional responses	0,281	0,256	0,300	0,254	0,536	0,443	0,527	0,780
	Family fears	0,112	0,107	-0,222	0,448	0,268	0,273	0,261	0,219
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,292	0,456	0,701	0,197	0,168	0,234	0,168	0,162

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,344	0,330	-0,274	0,316	0,290	0,271	0,147	0,187
	Emotional responses	0,171	0,146	0,190	0,144	0,426	0,333	0,417	0,670
	Family fears	0,002	-0,003	-0,332	0,338	0,158	0,163	0,151	0,109
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,182	0,346	0,591	0,087	0,058	0,124	0,058	0,052

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,333	0,319	-0,285	0,305	0,279	0,260	0,136	0,176
	Emotional responses	0,159	0,135	0,178	0,133	0,414	0,322	0,406	0,659
	Family fears	-0,009	-0,015	-0,343	0,326	0,147	0,152	0,140	0,098
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,171	0,335	0,579	0,076	0,047	0,113	0,047	0,040

21) Fear of Disease Progression (FGDQ (fears)) – Coping Mechanisms Diagnostic Technique (Heim Coping Mechanisms Methodology)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	-0,240	-0,440	-0,340	0,306	0,135	-0,337	0,257	0,450
	Emotional responses	0,476	0,000	0,298	0,750	0,167	0,868	0,598	0,776
	Family fears	-0,435	-0,251	-0,351	0,162	0,058	0,106	0,200	0,183
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,525	-0,539	-0,671	0,638	0,160	0,269	0,155	0,160

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,320	0,554	0,269	0,188	0,357	0,188	0,204	0,029	0,167	0,094
	Emotional responses	-0,062	0,300	0,569	0,142	0,627	0,134	0,598	0,479	0,004	0,181
	Family fears	0,222	0,038	0,243	0,085	0,057	-0,037	0,059	-0,041	0,185	0,050
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,168	0,074	0,119	-0,013	-0,014	-0,063	-0,057	-0,129	0,096	0,091

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,211	-0,282	0,011	0,271	0,312	0,111	0,628	-0,666
	Emotional responses	0,535	-0,559	0,191	0,776	0,637	0,053	0,272	0,284
	Family fears	0,100	-0,032	0,007	0,133	0,129	0,185	0,100	0,019
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,063	0,124	-0,075	0,062	0,208	0,115	0,331	0,259

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	-0,138	-0,337	-0,238	0,409	0,237	-0,234	0,359	0,552
	Emotional responses	0,578	0,102	0,400	0,852	0,269	0,970	0,700	0,878
	Family fears	-0,332	-0,148	-0,249	0,264	0,160	0,209	0,303	0,285
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,423	-0,437	-0,569	0,740	0,262	0,372	0,257	0,262

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,422	0,656	0,371	0,290	0,459	0,290	0,306	0,132	0,270	0,196
	Emotional responses	0,040	0,402	0,671	0,244	0,729	0,236	0,700	0,581	0,106	0,283
	Family fears	0,324	0,141	0,345	0,188	0,159	0,065	0,161	0,061	0,287	0,152
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,270	0,176	0,221	0,090	0,088	0,039	0,046	-0,027	0,198	0,193

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,313	-0,179	0,113	0,374	0,414	0,214	0,731	-0,564
	Emotional responses	0,637	-0,457	0,293	0,878	0,739	0,155	0,374	0,386
	Family fears	0,202	0,070	0,109	0,235	0,231	0,287	0,202	0,121
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,165	0,226	0,027	0,164	0,310	0,217	0,433	0,361

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	-0,230	-0,430	-0,330	0,316	0,145	-0,327	0,267	0,460
	Emotional responses	0,486	0,010	0,308	0,760	0,177	0,878	0,608	0,786
	Family fears	-0,425	-0,240	-0,341	0,172	0,068	0,117	0,211	0,193
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,515	-0,529	-0,661	0,648	0,170	0,280	0,165	0,170

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,330	0,564	0,279	0,198	0,367	0,198	0,214	0,040	0,178	0,104
	Emotional responses	-0,052	0,310	0,579	0,152	0,637	0,144	0,608	0,489	0,014	0,191
	Family fears	0,232	0,048	0,253	0,095	0,067	-0,027	0,069	-0,031	0,195	0,060
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,178	0,084	0,129	-0,003	-0,004	-0,053	-0,047	-0,119	0,106	0,101

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,221	-0,272	0,021	0,282	0,322	0,122	0,638	-0,656
	Emotional responses	0,545	-0,549	0,201	0,786	0,647	0,063	0,282	0,294
	Family fears	0,110	-0,022	0,017	0,143	0,139	0,195	0,110	0,029
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,073	0,134	-0,065	0,072	0,218	0,125	0,341	0,269

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	-0,129	-0,329	-0,229	0,417	0,246	-0,226	0,368	0,561
	Emotional responses	0,587	0,111	0,409	0,861	0,278	0,979	0,709	0,887
	Family fears	-0,324	-0,139	-0,240	0,273	0,169	0,218	0,312	0,294
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,414	-0,428	-0,560	0,749	0,271	0,381	0,266	0,271

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,431	0,665	0,380	0,299	0,468	0,299	0,315	0,141	0,279	0,205
	Emotional responses	0,049	0,411	0,680	0,253	0,738	0,245	0,709	0,590	0,115	0,292
	Family fears	0,333	0,149	0,354	0,197	0,168	0,074	0,170	0,070	0,296	0,161
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,279	0,185	0,230	0,098	0,097	0,048	0,054	-0,018	0,207	0,202

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,322	-0,170	0,122	0,383	0,423	0,223	0,739	-0,555
	Emotional responses	0,646	-0,448	0,302	0,887	0,748	0,164	0,383	0,395
	Family fears	0,211	0,079	0,118	0,244	0,240	0,296	0,211	0,130
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,174	0,235	0,036	0,173	0,319	0,226	0,442	0,370

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	-0,239	-0,439	-0,339	0,307	0,136	-0,336	0,258	0,451
	Emotional responses	0,477	0,001	0,299	0,751	0,168	0,869	0,599	0,777
	Family fears	-0,434	-0,249	-0,350	0,163	0,059	0,108	0,202	0,184
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,524	-0,538	-0,670	0,639	0,161	0,271	0,156	0,161

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,321	0,555	0,270	0,189	0,358	0,189	0,205	0,031	0,169	0,095
	Emotional responses	-0,061	0,301	0,570	0,143	0,628	0,135	0,599	0,480	0,005	0,182
	Family fears	0,223	0,039	0,244	0,087	0,058	-0,036	0,060	-0,040	0,186	0,051
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,169	0,075	0,120	-0,012	-0,013	-0,062	-0,056	-0,128	0,097	0,092

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,212	-0,280	0,012	0,273	0,313	0,113	0,629	-0,665
	Emotional responses	0,536	-0,558	0,192	0,777	0,638	0,054	0,273	0,285
	Family fears	0,101	-0,031	0,008	0,134	0,130	0,186	0,101	0,020
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,064	0,125	-0,074	0,063	0,209	0,116	0,332	0,260

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	-0,250	-0,450	-0,350	0,296	0,125	-0,347	0,246	0,440
	Emotional responses	0,466	-0,010	0,288	0,739	0,157	0,858	0,588	0,766
	Family fears	-0,445	-0,261	-0,361	0,152	0,048	0,096	0,190	0,173
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,535	-0,549	-0,681	0,628	0,150	0,259	0,145	0,149

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,310	0,544	0,259	0,178	0,347	0,178	0,194	0,019	0,157	0,084
	Emotional responses	-0,072	0,290	0,559	0,132	0,617	0,124	0,588	0,469	-0,006	0,171
	Family fears	0,212	0,028	0,233	0,075	0,047	-0,047	0,049	-0,051	0,175	0,040
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,158	0,064	0,109	-0,023	-0,024	-0,074	-0,067	-0,140	0,086	0,081

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,201	-0,292	0,000	0,261	0,302	0,101	0,618	-0,676
	Emotional responses	0,525	-0,569	0,180	0,766	0,627	0,043	0,262	0,274
	Family fears	0,090	-0,043	-0,003	0,123	0,119	0,175	0,090	0,009
	Fear of loss of autonomy	0,052	0,114	-0,085	0,052	0,198	0,105	0,321	0,249

22) Fear of disease progression questionnaire (FPSQ (fears)) – Diagnosable Types of Attitudes to Illness (TOBOL)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)											
		MD pairwise deleted											
		Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,077	0,642	0,684	0,155	0,066	0,138	0,177	0,109	0,082	0,173	0,185	0,647
	Emotional responses	0,148	0,019	0,021	0,422	0,155	0,331	0,371	0,368	0,318	0,321	0,173	0,362
	Family fears	-0,135	0,498	0,202	-0,065	0,027	-0,132	-0,099	-0,034	-0,111	-0,622	0,121	-0,048
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,237	0,018	0,047	-0,041	-0,030	-0,137	-0,074	-0,184	-0,218	-0,216	-0,122	-0,206

Variable Группа 1 Срез 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)											
		MD pairwise deleted											
		Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,179	0,744	0,786	0,258	0,168	0,240	0,279	0,211	0,184	0,276	0,287	0,749
	Emotional responses	0,250	0,121	0,124	0,525	0,257	0,434	0,473	0,470	0,420	0,423	0,276	0,464
	Family fears	-0,032	0,600	0,304	0,037	0,129	-0,030	0,003	0,068	-0,008	-0,520	0,223	0,054
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,134	0,120	0,149	0,061	0,072	-0,034	0,028	-0,081	-0,116	-0,114	-0,020	-0,104

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,087	0,652	0,694	0,165	0,076	0,148	0,187	0,119	0,092	0,184	0,195	0,657
	Emotional responses	0,158	0,029	0,031	0,432	0,165	0,341	0,381	0,378	0,328	0,331	0,184	0,372
	Family fears	-0,124	0,508	0,212	-0,055	0,037	-0,122	-0,089	-0,024	-0,100	-0,612	0,131	-0,038
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,227	0,028	0,057	-0,031	-0,020	-0,127	-0,064	-0,174	-0,208	-0,206	-0,112	-0,196

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,188	0,753	0,795	0,266	0,177	0,249	0,288	0,220	0,193	0,285	0,296	0,758
	Emotional responses	0,259	0,130	0,132	0,533	0,266	0,443	0,482	0,479	0,429	0,432	0,285	0,473
	Family fears	-0,023	0,609	0,313	0,046	0,138	-0,021	0,012	0,077	0,001	-0,511	0,232	0,063
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,126	0,129	0,158	0,070	0,081	-0,026	0,037	-0,073	-0,107	-0,105	-0,011	-0,095

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,078	0,643	0,685	0,156	0,067	0,139	0,178	0,110	0,083	0,175	0,186	0,648
	Emotional responses	0,149	0,020	0,022	0,423	0,156	0,333	0,372	0,369	0,319	0,322	0,175	0,363
	Family fears	-0,133	0,499	0,203	-0,064	0,028	-0,131	-0,098	-0,033	-0,109	-0,621	0,122	-0,047
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,236	0,019	0,048	-0,040	-0,029	-0,136	-0,073	-0,183	-0,217	-0,215	-0,121	-0,205

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
FPSQ (fears)	General level of fear of disease progression	0,067	0,632	0,674	0,145	0,056	0,128	0,167	0,099	0,072	0,163	0,175	0,637
	Emotional responses	0,138	0,009	0,011	0,412	0,144	0,321	0,361	0,358	0,308	0,310	0,163	0,351
	Family fears	-0,145	0,488	0,191	-0,075	0,017	-0,143	-0,109	-0,044	-0,121	-0,632	0,110	-0,058
	Fear of loss of autonomy	-0,247	0,008	0,036	-0,051	-0,040	-0,147	-0,085	-0,194	-0,228	-0,226	-0,132	-0,217

23) The A. Langle - C. Orgler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale) – Life Style Index (LSI)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,002	0,107	0,116	-0,037	-0,144	-0,146	-0,110	-0,063
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,193	0,096	0,120	0,153	0,136	0,145	0,391	0,199
	Freedom (F)	0,205	0,173	0,055	0,169	0,149	0,117	0,446	0,141
	Responsibility (V)	0,193	0,191	0,100	0,119	0,062	0,068	0,372	0,124

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,100	0,209	0,218	0,065	-0,042	-0,044	-0,008	0,040
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,295	0,198	0,222	0,255	0,238	0,247	0,493	0,302
	Freedom (F)	0,307	0,275	0,157	0,272	0,251	0,219	0,549	0,244
	Responsibility (V)	0,295	0,293	0,202	0,222	0,164	0,170	0,474	0,226

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,008	0,117	0,126	-0,027	-0,134	-0,136	-0,100	-0,052
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,203	0,106	0,130	0,163	0,146	0,155	0,401	0,210
	Freedom (F)	0,215	0,183	0,065	0,180	0,159	0,127	0,457	0,151
	Responsibility (V)	0,203	0,201	0,110	0,129	0,072	0,078	0,382	0,134

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,109	0,218	0,227	0,074	-0,033	-0,035	0,001	0,049
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,304	0,207	0,231	0,264	0,247	0,256	0,502	0,311
	Freedom (F)	0,316	0,284	0,166	0,281	0,260	0,228	0,558	0,252
	Responsibility (V)	0,304	0,302	0,211	0,230	0,173	0,179	0,483	0,235

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,001	0,108	0,117	-0,036	-0,143	-0,145	-0,109	-0,061
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,194	0,097	0,121	0,154	0,137	0,146	0,392	0,201
	Freedom (F)	0,206	0,174	0,056	0,171	0,150	0,118	0,448	0,142
	Responsibility (V)	0,194	0,192	0,101	0,120	0,063	0,069	0,373	0,125

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		LSI							
		Denial	Displacement	Regression	Compensation	Projection	Substitution	Intellectualisation	Reactive formations
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,012	0,097	0,106	-0,047	-0,155	-0,156	-0,120	-0,073
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,183	0,086	0,110	0,143	0,126	0,135	0,380	0,189
	Freedom (F)	0,195	0,163	0,045	0,159	0,139	0,107	0,436	0,131
	Responsibility (V)	0,183	0,181	0,090	0,109	0,052	0,058	0,362	0,114

24) A. Langle - C. Orgler Existence Scale (Langle Existence Scale) – Coping Mechanism Diagnostic Methodology (Heim Coping Mechanism Methodology)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,403	0,577	0,606	0,352	0,036	-0,065	0,065	-0,085
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,436	0,685	0,284	0,337	0,037	0,089	0,387	0,614
	Freedom (F)	0,067	-0,154	0,132	0,193	0,137	0,000	0,462	0,825
	Responsibility (V)	0,201	-0,158	0,115	0,316	0,082	0,103	0,352	0,522

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,162	-0,171	0,117	-0,197	-0,142	-0,130	-0,198	-0,144	-0,149	-0,252
	Self-transcendence (ST)	-0,171	0,122	0,078	0,151	0,196	0,197	0,128	0,193	0,065	-0,473
	Freedom (F)	-0,156	0,035	0,004	0,102	0,012	0,139	0,046	0,098	0,537	0,200
	Responsibility (V)	0,014	0,046	0,192	0,050	0,174	0,115	0,091	0,192	0,177	0,163

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,125	0,260	-0,139	-0,141	-0,154	-0,071	0,207	0,119
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,481	0,562	0,047	0,109	0,154	0,113	0,189	0,411
	Freedom (F)	0,582	0,573	0,131	0,101	0,187	0,212	0,002	0,452
	Responsibility (V)	0,294	0,487	0,330	0,172	0,171	0,201	0,044	0,189

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,505	0,679	0,708	0,454	0,138	0,037	0,168	0,017
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,538	0,787	0,387	0,439	0,139	0,191	0,489	0,716
	Freedom (F)	0,169	-0,052	0,234	0,295	0,239	0,102	0,564	0,927
	Responsibility (V)	0,303	-0,056	0,217	0,418	0,185	0,205	0,454	0,624

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,264	-0,068	0,219	-0,094	-0,040	-0,028	-0,096	-0,041	-0,047	-0,150
	Self-transcendence (ST)	-0,069	0,224	0,180	0,253	0,298	0,299	0,230	0,295	0,168	-0,371
	Freedom (F)	-0,054	0,137	0,107	0,204	0,114	0,241	0,148	0,201	0,640	0,302
	Responsibility (V)	0,117	0,148	0,294	0,153	0,276	0,218	0,193	0,295	0,279	0,265

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,023	0,363	-0,037	-0,039	-0,052	0,032	0,309	0,221
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,583	0,664	0,150	0,211	0,256	0,215	0,291	0,513
	Freedom (F)	0,684	0,675	0,233	0,203	0,289	0,314	0,104	0,554
	Responsibility (V)	0,396	0,589	0,432	0,275	0,273	0,303	0,146	0,291

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,413	0,587	0,616	0,362	0,046	-0,055	0,076	-0,075
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,446	0,695	0,295	0,347	0,047	0,099	0,397	0,624
	Freedom (F)	0,077	-0,144	0,142	0,203	0,147	0,010	0,472	0,835
	Responsibility (V)	0,211	-0,148	0,125	0,326	0,092	0,113	0,362	0,532

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,172	-0,161	0,127	-0,186	-0,132	-0,120	-0,188	-0,133	-0,139	-0,242
	Self-transcendence (ST)	-0,161	0,132	0,088	0,161	0,206	0,207	0,138	0,203	0,076	-0,463
	Freedom (F)	-0,146	0,045	0,015	0,112	0,022	0,149	0,056	0,108	0,548	0,210
	Responsibility (V)	0,025	0,056	0,202	0,060	0,184	0,125	0,101	0,203	0,187	0,173

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,115	0,271	-0,129	-0,131	-0,144	-0,061	0,217	0,129
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,491	0,572	0,058	0,119	0,164	0,123	0,199	0,421
	Freedom (F)	0,592	0,583	0,141	0,111	0,197	0,222	0,012	0,462
	Responsibility (V)	0,304	0,497	0,340	0,183	0,181	0,211	0,054	0,199

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,514	0,688	0,717	0,463	0,147	0,046	0,177	0,026
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,547	0,796	0,396	0,448	0,148	0,200	0,498	0,725
	Freedom (F)	0,178	-0,043	0,243	0,304	0,248	0,111	0,573	0,936
	Responsibility (V)	0,312	-0,047	0,226	0,427	0,193	0,214	0,463	0,633

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Disimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,273	-0,060	0,228	-0,085	-0,031	-0,019	-0,087	-0,032	-0,038	-0,141
	Self-transcendence (ST)	-0,060	0,233	0,189	0,262	0,307	0,308	0,239	0,304	0,177	-0,362
	Freedom (F)	-0,045	0,146	0,116	0,213	0,123	0,250	0,157	0,210	0,649	0,311
	Responsibility (V)	0,126	0,157	0,303	0,161	0,285	0,226	0,202	0,304	0,288	0,274

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,014	0,372	-0,028	-0,030	-0,043	0,040	0,318	0,230
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,592	0,673	0,159	0,220	0,265	0,224	0,300	0,522
	Freedom (F)	0,693	0,684	0,242	0,212	0,298	0,323	0,113	0,563
	Responsibility (V)	0,405	0,598	0,441	0,284	0,282	0,312	0,155	0,300

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,404	0,578	0,607	0,353	0,037	-0,064	0,067	-0,084
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,437	0,686	0,286	0,338	0,038	0,090	0,388	0,615
	Freedom (F)	0,068	-0,153	0,133	0,194	0,138	0,001	0,463	0,826
	Responsibility (V)	0,202	-0,157	0,116	0,317	0,083	0,104	0,353	0,523

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,163	-0,170	0,118	-0,195	-0,141	-0,129	-0,197	-0,142	-0,148	-0,251
	Self-transcendence (ST)	-0,170	0,123	0,079	0,152	0,197	0,198	0,129	0,194	0,067	-0,472
	Freedom (F)	-0,155	0,036	0,006	0,103	0,013	0,140	0,047	0,100	0,539	0,201
	Responsibility (V)	0,016	0,047	0,193	0,051	0,175	0,116	0,092	0,194	0,178	0,164

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at p <,00100							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,124	0,262	-0,138	-0,140	-0,153	-0,070	0,208	0,120
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,482	0,563	0,049	0,110	0,155	0,114	0,190	0,412
	Freedom (F)	0,583	0,574	0,132	0,102	0,188	0,213	0,003	0,453
	Responsibility (V)	0,295	0,488	0,331	0,174	0,172	0,202	0,045	0,190

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at p <,00100							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,393	0,567	0,596	0,342	0,026	-0,075	0,055	-0,095
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,426	0,675	0,274	0,327	0,026	0,079	0,377	0,604
	Freedom (F)	0,057	-0,164	0,122	0,183	0,126	-0,010	0,451	0,815
	Responsibility (V)	0,191	-0,168	0,105	0,306	0,072	0,093	0,342	0,512

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at p <,00100									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,152	-0,181	0,106	-0,207	-0,152	-0,140	-0,208	-0,154	-0,159	-0,262
	Self-transcendence (ST)	-0,181	0,112	0,067	0,140	0,186	0,187	0,118	0,183	0,055	-0,483

	Freedom (F)	-0,167	0,025	-0,006	0,092	0,001	0,129	0,036	0,088	0,527	0,190
	Responsibility (V)	0,004	0,035	0,182	0,040	0,164	0,105	0,081	0,182	0,167	0,153

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,135	0,250	-0,149	-0,151	-0,164	-0,081	0,197	0,109
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,471	0,552	0,037	0,099	0,144	0,103	0,179	0,401
	Freedom (F)	0,572	0,562	0,120	0,091	0,177	0,202	-0,009	0,442
	Responsibility (V)	0,284	0,477	0,320	0,162	0,161	0,191	0,034	0,179

25) The A. Langle Existence Scale - C. Orgler (Langle Existence Scale) - Diagnosable Types of Attitudes to Illness (TOOL)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognosic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognosic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,416	-0,086	0,026	-0,234	-0,099	0,035	-0,192	-0,052	-0,096	-0,002	0,109	-0,176
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,034	-0,417	0,063	0,164	0,228	0,239	0,153	0,078	0,064	0,135	-0,002	0,104
	Freedom (F)	0,045	-0,583	0,114	0,142	0,239	0,071	0,004	0,186	0,174	0,083	0,135	0,102
	Responsibility (V)	0,264	-0,563	-0,030	0,141	0,148	0,141	0,071	0,004	0,083	0,005	0,083	0,116

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,314	0,016	0,128	-0,132	0,003	0,138	-0,090	0,050	0,006	0,101	0,211	-0,074
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,536	-0,314	0,165	0,267	0,330	0,341	0,256	0,180	0,166	0,237	0,101	0,206
	Freedom (F)	0,147	-0,481	0,216	0,244	0,341	0,173	0,107	0,288	0,276	0,185	0,237	0,204
	Responsibility (V)	0,366	-0,460	0,072	0,243	0,250	0,244	0,173	0,106	0,185	0,107	0,185	0,219

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,106	-0,076	0,036	-0,224	-0,089	0,046	-0,182	-0,042	-0,086	0,009	0,119	-0,166
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,044	-0,407	0,073	0,174	0,238	0,249	0,163	0,088	0,074	0,145	0,009	0,114
	Freedom (F)	0,055	-0,573	0,124	0,152	0,249	0,081	0,015	0,196	0,184	0,093	0,145	0,112
	Responsibility (V)	0,174	-0,553	-0,020	0,151	0,158	0,152	0,081	0,014	0,093	0,015	0,093	0,126

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,305	0,025	0,137	-0,123	0,012	0,147	-0,081	0,059	0,015	0,110	0,220	-0,065
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,445	-0,305	0,174	0,275	0,339	0,350	0,264	0,189	0,175	0,246	0,110	0,215
	Freedom (F)	0,156	-0,472	0,225	0,253	0,350	0,182	0,116	0,297	0,285	0,194	0,246	0,213
	Responsibility (V)	0,375	-0,452	0,081	0,252	0,259	0,253	0,182	0,115	0,194	0,116	0,194	0,227

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	0,415	-0,085	0,027	-0,233	-0,098	0,037	-0,191	-0,051	-0,095	0,000	0,110	-0,175
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,035	-0,415	0,064	0,165	0,229	0,240	0,154	0,079	0,065	0,136	0,000	0,105
	Freedom (F)	0,046	-0,582	0,115	0,143	0,240	0,072	0,006	0,187	0,175	0,084	0,136	0,103
	Responsibility (V)	0,265	-0,562	-0,029	0,142	0,149	0,143	0,072	0,005	0,084	0,006	0,084	0,117

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Langle's Existence Scale	Self-steering (SD)	-0,426	-0,096	0,016	-0,244	-0,109	0,025	-0,203	-0,063	-0,106	-0,012	0,099	-0,186
	Self-transcendence (ST)	0,024	-0,427	0,053	0,154	0,218	0,228	0,143	0,068	0,054	0,124	-0,012	0,094
	Freedom (F)	0,034	-0,593	0,104	0,132	0,229	0,061	-0,006	0,175	0,164	0,073	0,124	0,092
	Responsibility (V)	0,054	-0,573	-0,040	0,131	0,138	0,131	0,061	-0,006	0,073	-0,005	0,073	0,106

26) Life Style Index (LSI) – Coping Mechanism Diagnostic Methodology (Heim Coping Mechanisms Methodology)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
LSI	Denial	0,212	-0,105	0,143	0,081	0,129	0,123	0,150	0,230
	Displacement	0,162	-0,017	0,140	0,110	0,164	-0,026	0,174	0,049
	Regression	0,248	0,358	0,457	0,354	0,225	0,014	0,246	-0,023
	Compensation	0,130	-0,192	0,042	0,191	0,077	0,200	0,146	0,141
	Projection	0,404	0,129	0,003	0,072	0,121	0,176	0,104	0,128
	Substitution	0,142	-0,209	0,015	0,183	0,151	0,074	0,130	0,045
	Intellectualisation	0,610	-0,169	0,041	0,101	0,162	0,141	0,186	0,146
	Reactive formations	0,191	-0,182	-0,035	0,165	0,155	0,052	0,212	0,002

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
---------------------------	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
LSI	Denial	0,102	0,188	0,291	0,463	0,210	0,204	0,235	0,118	0,572	0,110
	Displacement	0,148	0,116	0,074	0,034	0,023	0,033	0,054	-0,078	-0,012	-0,062
	Regression	0,161	0,031	0,096	-0,095	-0,133	-0,183	-0,101	-0,204	-0,143	-0,165
	Compensation	-0,087	0,144	0,132	0,103	0,222	0,208	0,230	0,115	0,257	0,251
	Projection	-0,232	0,005	0,003	0,010	0,067	0,027	0,032	0,054	0,085	0,106
	Substitution	-0,089	0,103	0,140	0,004	0,162	0,060	0,122	0,670	0,341	0,314
	Intellectualisation	-0,068	0,041	0,127	0,036	0,173	0,187	0,005	0,141	0,183	0,129
	Reactive formations	-0,229	0,365	0,508	0,452	0,482	0,144	0,130	0,426	0,104	0,180

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
LSI	Denial	0,120	0,113	0,165	0,070	0,168	0,206	0,287	0,184
	Displacement	-0,009	-0,004	0,029	-0,111	-0,004	0,016	0,121	0,396
	Regression	-0,163	-0,152	-0,220	-0,164	-0,134	-0,052	0,264	0,184
	Compensation	0,177	0,225	0,138	0,190	0,223	0,538	0,001	0,075
	Projection	0,187	0,437	0,117	0,121	0,158	0,034	0,108	0,296
	Substitution	0,315	0,177	0,084	0,805	0,023	0,049	0,168	0,204
	Intellectualisation	0,039	0,574	0,039	0,166	0,168	0,150	0,006	0,139
	Reactive formations	0,136	0,128	0,110	0,117	0,017	0,196	0,051	0,189

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
LSI	Denial	0,314	-0,003	0,245	0,184	0,232	0,225	0,252	0,332
	Displacement	0,264	0,085	0,242	0,212	0,266	0,076	0,276	0,151
	Regression	0,350	0,460	0,559	0,456	0,327	0,116	0,348	0,079
	Compensation	0,233	-0,090	0,144	0,293	0,180	0,302	0,248	0,243
	Projection	0,506	0,231	0,105	0,175	0,223	0,278	0,206	0,231
	Substitution	0,244	-0,107	0,117	0,285	0,253	0,176	0,233	0,147
	Intellectualisation	0,712	-0,067	0,143	0,203	0,265	0,243	0,288	0,249
	Reactive formations	0,294	-0,080	0,067	0,267	0,257	0,154	0,314	0,104

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
LSI	Denial	0,204	0,290	0,394	0,565	0,312	0,306	0,337	0,220	0,674	0,212
	Displacement	0,251	0,218	0,176	0,136	0,126	0,135	0,156	0,024	0,091	0,040
	Regression	0,263	0,134	0,198	0,007	-0,030	-0,081	0,001	-0,102	-0,041	-0,063
	Compensation	0,015	0,246	0,235	0,205	0,324	0,310	0,332	0,217	0,359	0,353
	Projection	-0,130	0,107	0,105	0,112	0,169	0,130	0,134	0,156	0,187	0,208
	Substitution	0,013	0,205	0,242	0,106	0,265	0,162	0,224	0,772	0,443	0,416
	Intellectualisation	0,034	0,143	0,230	0,138	0,275	0,289	0,108	0,243	0,285	0,231
	Reactive formations	-0,127	0,467	0,610	0,555	0,584	0,246	0,232	0,528	0,206	0,282

Variable Group 1 Cut 2	Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < ,00100$
---------------------------	---

		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
LSI	Denial	0,223	0,215	0,268	0,172	0,270	0,308	0,389	0,286
	Displacement	0,093	0,099	0,132	-0,009	0,099	0,118	0,223	0,499
	Regression	-0,061	-0,050	-0,117	-0,062	-0,032	0,050	0,366	0,287
	Compensation	0,280	0,327	0,240	0,292	0,325	0,640	0,103	0,177
	Projection	0,290	0,539	0,219	0,223	0,260	0,137	0,210	0,398
	Substitution	0,417	0,279	0,186	0,907	0,126	0,151	0,270	0,306
	Intellectualisation	0,141	0,676	0,141	0,268	0,270	0,252	0,109	0,241
	Reactive formations	0,238	0,231	0,212	0,219	0,119	0,298	0,153	0,291

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
LSI	Denial	0,222	-0,095	0,153	0,092	0,140	0,133	0,160	0,240
	Displacement	0,172	-0,007	0,150	0,120	0,174	-0,016	0,184	0,059
	Regression	0,258	0,368	0,467	0,364	0,235	0,024	0,256	-0,013
	Compensation	0,141	-0,182	0,052	0,201	0,087	0,210	0,156	0,151
	Projection	0,414	0,139	0,013	0,083	0,131	0,186	0,114	0,139
	Substitution	0,152	-0,199	0,025	0,193	0,161	0,084	0,140	0,055
	Intellectualisation	0,620	-0,159	0,051	0,111	0,172	0,151	0,196	0,157
	Reactive formations	0,201	-0,172	-0,025	0,175	0,165	0,062	0,222	0,012

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
LSI	Denial	0,112	0,198	0,302	0,473	0,220	0,214	0,245	0,128	0,582	0,120
	Displacement	0,158	0,126	0,084	0,044	0,034	0,043	0,064	-0,068	-0,002	-0,052
	Regression	0,171	0,042	0,106	-0,085	-0,122	-0,173	-0,091	-0,194	-0,133	-0,155
	Compensation	-0,077	0,154	0,143	0,113	0,232	0,218	0,240	0,125	0,267	0,261
	Projection	-0,222	0,015	0,013	0,020	0,077	0,038	0,042	0,064	0,095	0,116
	Substitution	-0,079	0,113	0,150	0,014	0,172	0,070	0,132	0,680	0,351	0,324
	Intellectualisation	-0,058	0,051	0,137	0,046	0,183	0,197	0,015	0,151	0,193	0,139
	Reactive formations	-0,219	0,375	0,518	0,463	0,492	0,154	0,140	0,436	0,114	0,190

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
LSI	Denial	0,130	0,123	0,175	0,080	0,178	0,216	0,297	0,194
	Displacement	0,001	0,007	0,040	-0,101	0,006	0,026	0,131	0,406
	Regression	-0,153	-0,142	-0,209	-0,154	-0,124	-0,042	0,274	0,194
	Compensation	0,188	0,235	0,148	0,200	0,233	0,548	0,011	0,085
	Projection	0,198	0,447	0,127	0,131	0,168	0,044	0,118	0,306
	Substitution	0,325	0,187	0,094	0,815	0,034	0,059	0,178	0,214
	Intellectualisation	0,049	0,584	0,049	0,176	0,178	0,160	0,016	0,149
	Reactive formations	0,146	0,139	0,120	0,127	0,027	0,206	0,061	0,199

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
LSI	Denial	0,323	0,006	0,254	0,193	0,241	0,234	0,261	0,341
	Displacement	0,273	0,094	0,251	0,221	0,275	0,085	0,285	0,160
	Regression	0,359	0,469	0,568	0,465	0,336	0,125	0,357	0,088
	Compensation	0,242	-0,081	0,153	0,302	0,188	0,311	0,257	0,252
	Projection	0,515	0,240	0,114	0,184	0,232	0,287	0,215	0,240
	Substitution	0,253	-0,098	0,126	0,294	0,262	0,185	0,241	0,156
	Intellectualisation	0,721	-0,058	0,152	0,212	0,273	0,252	0,297	0,258
	Reactive formations	0,302	-0,071	0,076	0,276	0,266	0,163	0,323	0,113

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self-incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
LSI	Denial	0,213	0,299	0,403	0,574	0,321	0,315	0,346	0,229	0,683	0,221
	Displacement	0,259	0,227	0,185	0,145	0,135	0,144	0,165	0,033	0,099	0,049
	Regression	0,272	0,143	0,207	0,016	-0,021	-0,072	0,010	-0,093	-0,032	-0,054
	Compensation	0,024	0,255	0,244	0,214	0,333	0,319	0,341	0,226	0,368	0,362
	Projection	-0,121	0,116	0,114	0,121	0,178	0,139	0,143	0,165	0,196	0,217
	Substitution	0,022	0,214	0,251	0,115	0,273	0,171	0,233	0,781	0,452	0,425
	Intellectualisation	0,043	0,152	0,238	0,147	0,284	0,298	0,116	0,252	0,294	0,240
	Reactive formations	-0,118	0,476	0,619	0,564	0,593	0,255	0,241	0,537	0,215	0,291

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
LSI	Denial	0,231	0,224	0,276	0,181	0,279	0,317	0,398	0,295
	Displacement	0,102	0,108	0,141	0,000	0,107	0,127	0,232	0,508
	Regression	-0,052	-0,041	-0,108	-0,053	-0,023	0,059	0,375	0,295
	Compensation	0,289	0,336	0,249	0,301	0,334	0,649	0,112	0,186
	Projection	0,299	0,548	0,228	0,232	0,269	0,145	0,219	0,407
	Substitution	0,426	0,288	0,195	0,916	0,135	0,160	0,279	0,315
	Intellectualisation	0,150	0,685	0,150	0,277	0,279	0,261	0,117	0,250
	Reactive formations	0,247	0,240	0,221	0,228	0,128	0,307	0,162	0,300

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintaining self-control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperation	Address	Altruism
LSI	Denial	0,213	-0,104	0,144	0,083	0,131	0,124	0,151	0,231
	Displacement	0,163	-0,016	0,141	0,111	0,165	-0,025	0,175	0,050
	Regression	0,249	0,359	0,458	0,355	0,226	0,015	0,247	-0,022
	Compensation	0,132	-0,191	0,043	0,192	0,078	0,201	0,147	0,142
	Projection	0,405	0,130	0,004	0,074	0,122	0,177	0,105	0,130
	Substitution	0,143	-0,208	0,016	0,184	0,152	0,075	0,131	0,046
	Intellectualisation	0,611	-0,168	0,042	0,102	0,163	0,142	0,187	0,148
	Reactive formations	0,192	-0,181	-0,034	0,166	0,156	0,053	0,213	0,003

Variable Group 3 cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self- incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
LSI	Denial	0,103	0,189	0,293	0,464	0,211	0,205	0,236	0,119	0,573	0,111
	Displacement	0,149	0,117	0,075	0,035	0,025	0,034	0,055	-0,077	-0,011	-0,061
	Regression	0,162	0,033	0,097	-0,094	-0,131	-0,182	-0,100	-0,203	-0,142	-0,164
	Compensation	-0,086	0,145	0,134	0,104	0,223	0,209	0,231	0,116	0,258	0,252
	Projection	-0,231	0,006	0,004	0,011	0,068	0,029	0,033	0,055	0,086	0,107
	Substitution	-0,088	0,104	0,141	0,005	0,163	0,061	0,123	0,671	0,342	0,315
	Intellectualisation	-0,067	0,042	0,128	0,037	0,174	0,188	0,006	0,142	0,184	0,130
	Reactive formations	-0,228	0,366	0,509	0,454	0,483	0,145	0,131	0,427	0,105	0,181

Variable Group 3 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$								
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms								
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours								
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity	
LSI	Denial	0,121	0,114	0,166	0,071	0,169	0,207	0,288	0,185	
	Displacement	-0,008	-0,002	0,031	-0,110	-0,003	0,017	0,122	0,398	
	Regression	-0,162	-0,151	-0,218	-0,163	-0,133	-0,051	0,265	0,185	
	Compensation	0,179	0,226	0,139	0,191	0,224	0,539	0,002	0,076	
	Projection	0,189	0,438	0,118	0,122	0,159	0,035	0,109	0,297	
	Substitution	0,316	0,178	0,085	0,806	0,025	0,050	0,169	0,205	
	Intellectualisation	0,040	0,575	0,040	0,167	0,169	0,151	0,007	0,140	
	Reactive formations	0,137	0,130	0,111	0,118	0,018	0,197	0,052	0,190	

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Adaptive coping behaviours							
		Problem analysis	Maintainin g self- control	Setting your own value	Protest	Optimism	Cooperatio n	Address	Altruism
LSI	Denial	0,202	-0,115	0,133	0,071	0,119	0,112	0,140	0,220
	Displacement	0,151	-0,027	0,130	0,100	0,154	-0,036	0,163	0,039
	Regression	0,238	0,348	0,447	0,344	0,215	0,004	0,236	-0,033
	Compensation	0,120	-0,202	0,032	0,181	0,067	0,190	0,136	0,131
	Projection	0,394	0,119	-0,007	0,062	0,111	0,166	0,094	0,118
	Substitution	0,132	-0,219	0,005	0,172	0,141	0,064	0,120	0,035
	Intellectualisation	0,600	-0,179	0,031	0,091	0,152	0,130	0,176	0,136
	Reactive formations	0,181	-0,192	-0,045	0,154	0,145	0,042	0,202	-0,008

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$									
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms									
		Non-adaptive coping behaviours									
		Humility	Confusion	Dissimulation	Ignoring	Suppression of emotion	Obedience	Self- incrimination	Aggressiveness	Active avoidance	Retreat
LSI	Denial	0,092	0,177	0,281	0,453	0,200	0,194	0,225	0,108	0,562	0,100
	Displacement	0,138	0,106	0,064	0,024	0,013	0,023	0,044	-0,088	-0,022	-0,072
	Regression	0,151	0,021	0,085	-0,105	-0,143	-0,194	-0,111	-0,214	-0,153	-0,175
	Compensation	-0,097	0,134	0,122	0,093	0,212	0,198	0,219	0,105	0,247	0,241
	Projection	-0,242	-0,005	-0,007	0,000	0,057	0,017	0,022	0,043	0,075	0,096
	Substitution	-0,099	0,093	0,130	-0,007	0,152	0,050	0,112	0,660	0,330	0,304
	Intellectualisation	-0,078	0,030	0,117	0,026	0,163	0,177	-0,005	0,131	0,173	0,119
	Reactive formations	-0,239	0,355	0,498	0,442	0,472	0,134	0,120	0,416	0,094	0,170

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$							
		Heim methodology coping mechanisms							
		Relatively adaptive coping behaviours							
		Relativity	Giving meaning	Religiousness	Emotional release	Passive cooperation	Compensation	Distraction	Constructive activity
LSI	Denial	0,110	0,103	0,155	0,060	0,158	0,196	0,276	0,174
	Displacement	-0,020	-0,014	0,019	-0,121	-0,014	0,006	0,111	0,386
	Regression	-0,173	-0,162	-0,230	-0,174	-0,144	-0,062	0,254	0,174
	Compensation	0,167	0,215	0,128	0,180	0,213	0,528	-0,009	0,065
	Projection	0,177	0,427	0,106	0,111	0,148	0,024	0,098	0,286
	Substitution	0,305	0,167	0,074	0,795	0,013	0,039	0,158	0,194
	Intellectualisation	0,029	0,564	0,029	0,156	0,158	0,140	-0,004	0,129
	Reactive formations	0,126	0,118	0,100	0,107	0,007	0,186	0,041	0,178

27) Life Style Index (LSI) - Diagnosable Types of Attitudes to Illness (TOBOL)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
		LSI	Denial	-0,246	-0,049	0,001	0,454	-0,239	0,482	0,188	0,590	0,418	0,129
Displacement	0,003		-0,813	-0,129	-0,048	-0,369	-0,008	0,315	-0,247	0,120	0,066	0,129	0,136
Regression	0,142		-0,156	-0,023	0,503	-0,216	-0,277	0,289	-0,192	0,194	0,523	0,066	0,110
Compensation	0,121		-0,151	-0,193	-0,060	-0,073	-0,160	0,086	-0,112	0,159	0,537	0,123	0,206
Projection	0,059		0,095	0,363	0,003	-0,140	0,057	0,213	-0,182	0,134	-0,103	0,137	0,114
Substitution	0,032		0,389	0,385	0,393	-0,008	0,157	0,038	-0,240	0,133	0,024	-0,103	-0,027
Intellectualisation	-0,136		-0,141	0,127	-0,255	-0,212	-0,186	0,424	-0,035	0,163	0,191	0,024	-0,038
Reactive formations	0,038		-0,107	0,116	0,269	-0,164	0,128	-0,154	-0,067	0,199	0,030	0,191	0,411

Variable Group 1 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)											
		MD pairwise deleted											
		Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
LSI	Denial	-0,144	0,054	0,103	0,556	-0,137	0,584	0,290	0,692	0,520	0,232	0,038	0,185
	Displacement	0,106	-0,710	-0,027	0,054	-0,267	0,094	0,417	-0,145	0,222	0,168	0,232	0,238
	Regression	0,245	-0,054	0,080	0,606	-0,114	-0,175	0,391	-0,090	0,297	0,625	0,168	0,212
	Compensation	0,223	-0,049	-0,091	0,042	0,029	-0,058	0,189	-0,010	0,261	0,639	0,225	0,308
	Projection	0,161	0,197	0,465	0,105	-0,038	0,159	0,316	-0,080	0,236	-0,001	0,239	0,217
	Substitution	0,134	0,491	0,487	0,495	0,095	0,260	0,140	-0,138	0,236	0,126	-0,001	0,075
	Intellectualisation	-0,033	-0,039	0,230	-0,152	-0,110	-0,084	0,526	0,067	0,266	0,293	0,126	0,064
	Reactive formations	0,140	-0,005	0,218	0,372	-0,062	0,231	-0,052	0,035	0,302	0,132	0,293	0,513

Variable Group 2 Cut 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)											
		MD pairwise deleted											
		Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apathic	Sensitive (C)
LSI	Denial	-0,036	-0,039	0,011	0,464	-0,229	0,492	0,198	0,600	0,428	0,139	-0,054	0,093
	Displacement	0,014	-0,803	-0,119	-0,038	-0,359	0,002	0,325	-0,237	0,130	0,076	0,139	0,146
	Regression	0,152	-0,146	-0,012	0,513	-0,206	-0,267	0,299	-0,182	0,204	0,533	0,076	0,120
	Compensation	0,131	-0,141	-0,183	-0,050	-0,063	-0,150	0,096	-0,102	0,169	0,547	0,133	0,216
	Projection	0,069	0,105	0,373	0,013	-0,130	0,067	0,223	-0,172	0,144	-0,093	0,147	0,124
	Substitution	0,042	0,399	0,395	0,403	0,003	0,168	0,048	-0,230	0,143	0,034	-0,093	-0,017
	Intellectualisation	-0,126	-0,131	0,137	-0,245	-0,202	-0,176	0,434	-0,025	0,173	0,201	0,034	-0,028
	Reactive formations	0,048	-0,097	0,126	0,280	-0,154	0,139	-0,144	-0,057	0,210	0,040	0,201	0,421

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
LSI	Denial	-0,135	0,062	0,112	0,565	-0,128	0,593	0,299	0,701	0,529	0,240	0,047	0,194
	Displacement	0,115	-0,202	-0,018	0,063	-0,258	0,103	0,426	-0,136	0,231	0,177	0,240	0,247
	Regression	0,253	-0,045	0,089	0,614	-0,105	-0,166	0,400	-0,081	0,305	0,634	0,177	0,221
	Compensation	0,232	-0,040	-0,082	0,051	0,038	-0,049	0,197	-0,001	0,270	0,648	0,234	0,317
	Projection	0,170	0,206	0,474	0,114	-0,029	0,168	0,324	-0,071	0,245	0,008	0,248	0,225
	Substitution	0,143	0,500	0,496	0,504	0,104	0,269	0,149	-0,129	0,245	0,135	0,008	0,084
	Intellectualisation	0,425	-0,030	0,238	-0,144	-0,101	-0,075	0,535	0,076	0,274	0,302	0,135	0,073
	Reactive formations	0,149	0,004	0,227	0,381	-0,053	0,240	-0,043	0,044	0,311	0,141	0,302	0,522

Variable Group 3 Cut 3 1		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
LSI	Denial	-0,245	-0,048	0,002	0,455	-0,238	0,483	0,189	0,591	0,419	0,130	-0,063	0,084
	Displacement	0,005	-0,812	-0,128	-0,047	-0,368	-0,007	0,316	-0,246	0,121	0,067	0,130	0,137
	Regression	0,143	-0,155	-0,021	0,504	-0,215	-0,276	0,290	-0,191	0,195	0,524	0,067	0,111
	Compensation	0,122	-0,150	-0,192	-0,059	-0,072	-0,159	0,087	-0,111	0,160	0,538	0,124	0,207
	Projection	0,060	0,096	0,364	0,004	-0,139	0,058	0,214	-0,181	0,135	-0,102	0,138	0,115
	Substitution	0,033	0,390	0,386	0,394	-0,006	0,159	0,039	-0,239	0,135	0,025	-0,102	-0,026
	Intellectualisation	-0,135	-0,140	0,128	-0,254	-0,211	-0,185	0,425	-0,034	0,164	0,192	0,025	-0,037
	Reactive formations	0,039	-0,106	0,117	0,271	-0,163	0,130	-0,153	-0,066	0,201	0,031	0,192	0,412

Variable Group 3 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
		TOBOL											
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
LSI	Denial	-0,256	-0,059	-0,009	0,444	-0,249	0,472	0,178	0,580	0,408	0,119	-0,075	0,072
	Displacement	-0,007	-0,823	-0,139	-0,058	-0,379	-0,018	0,305	-0,257	0,110	0,056	0,119	0,126
	Regression	0,132	-0,166	-0,033	0,493	-0,226	-0,287	0,279	-0,202	0,184	0,513	0,056	0,100
	Compensation	0,111	-0,161	-0,203	-0,070	-0,083	-0,170	0,076	-0,122	0,149	0,527	0,113	0,196
	Projection	0,049	0,085	0,353	-0,008	-0,151	0,047	0,203	-0,192	0,124	-0,113	0,127	0,104
	Substitution	0,022	0,379	0,375	0,382	-0,018	0,147	0,028	-0,250	0,123	0,014	-0,113	-0,037
	Intellectualisation	-0,146	-0,151	0,117	-0,265	-0,222	-0,196	0,414	-0,045	0,153	0,181	0,014	-0,048
	Reactive formations	0,028	-0,117	0,106	0,259	-0,174	0,118	-0,165	-0,077	0,189	0,020	0,181	0,401

28) Coping Mechanisms Diagnostic Technique (Heim Coping Mechanisms Methodology) – Diagnosable Types of Attitudes to Illness (TOBOL)

Variable Group 1 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$											
			TOBOL											
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,048	-0,339	0,098	0,152	0,172	0,228	0,106	0,208	0,213	0,199	0,030	0,211
		Maintaining self-control	0,123	-0,569	0,017	-	-0,137	-0,051	-0,199	-0,175	-	-0,041	0,199	-0,145
		Setting your own value	0,630	-0,511	0,084	-	0,000	-0,117	-0,065	-0,239	-	-0,071	-0,041	-0,159
		Protest	-0,161	-0,422	-0,073	-	0,015	-0,151	-0,147	-0,058	-	-0,083	-0,071	-0,579
		Optimism	0,087	-0,400	-0,302	0,217	0,107	0,149	0,217	0,026	0,117	0,005	-0,083	0,177
		Cooperation	0,054	0,094	0,031	0,203	0,237	0,151	0,189	0,108	0,173	0,078	0,005	0,109
		Address	0,184	0,138	0,363	0,141	0,144	0,199	0,057	0,198	0,169	0,176	0,078	0,174
		Altruism	0,186	0,160	0,093	0,119	0,519	0,113	0,124	0,146	0,126	0,033	0,176	0,116

Variable Group 1

Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1)
MD pairwise deleted

Cut 1			Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$						
			TOBOL						
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,169	-0,025	0,029	-0,155	-0,167	-0,159	-0,191
		Confusion	-0,133	0,108	0,107	0,390	0,149	0,170	0,142
		Dissimulation	0,147	0,141	0,081	0,453	0,267	0,450	0,148
		Ignoring	0,064	0,164	0,080	0,185	0,114	0,423	0,170
		Suppression of emotion	0,195	0,128	0,111	0,195	0,400	0,171	0,044
		Obedience	-0,092	0,128	0,022	0,107	0,679	0,107	0,047
		Self-incrimination	-0,039	0,117	0,129	0,129	0,128	0,530	0,122
		Aggressiveness	-0,094	0,119	0,055	0,185	0,083	0,527	0,023
		Active avoidance	0,115	0,175	0,099	0,006	0,115	0,023	0,317
Retreat	0,573	0,154	0,103	0,115	0,189	0,064	0,362		

Variable Group 1 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$				
			TOBOL				
			Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,125	-0,187	-0,044	0,033	-0,156
		Confusion	0,099	0,174	0,100	-0,044	0,109
		Dissimulation	0,159	0,168	0,163	0,100	0,192
		Ignoring	0,096	0,586	0,289	0,163	0,123
		Suppression of emotion	0,122	0,125	0,141	0,189	0,146
		Obedience	0,110	0,113	0,132	0,141	0,134
		Self-incrimination	0,144	0,161	0,119	0,132	0,116
		Aggressiveness	0,545	0,219	0,105	0,119	0,619
		Active avoidance	0,082	0,601	0,186	0,105	0,604
Retreat	0,190	0,572	0,183	0,186	0,173		

Variable Group 1 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,102	0,501	0,130	0,137	0,136	0,141
		Giving meaning	0,164	0,125	0,008	0,182	0,165	0,130
		Religiousness	0,173	0,186	0,097	0,061	0,169	0,118
		Emotional release	0,150	0,144	0,029	0,161	0,067	0,159
		Passive cooperation	0,112	0,173	0,091	0,134	0,740	0,042
		Compensation	0,183	0,169	0,092	0,011	0,328	0,011
		Distraction	-0,076	0,038	0,110	-0,007	0,061	-0,050
		Constructive activity	0,032	0,003	-0,315	0,159	0,060	0,153

Variable Group 1 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,318	0,156	0,167	0,160	0,183	0,168
		Giving meaning	0,145	0,012	0,100	0,125	0,160	0,027
		Religiousness	0,034	0,154	0,174	0,142	0,125	0,119
		Emotional release	0,173	0,133	0,113	0,113	0,142	0,146
		Passive cooperation	0,110	0,028	0,130	0,135	0,113	0,145
		Compensation	0,113	0,143	0,124	0,131	0,135	0,170
		Distraction	-0,015	-0,042	-0,098	-0,039	0,131	-0,050
		Constructive activity	0,126	0,123	0,085	0,109	-0,039	0,128

Variable Group 1 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,150	-0,237	0,200	0,254	0,274	0,330
		Maintaining self-control	0,225	-0,467	0,119	-0,121	-0,035	0,051
		Setting your own value	0,732	-0,409	0,186	0,073	0,103	-0,015
		Protest	-0,059	-0,320	0,029	0,042	0,117	-0,049
		Optimism	0,189	-0,298	-0,200	0,319	0,209	0,251
		Cooperation	0,157	0,196	0,133	0,305	0,339	0,254
		Address	0,287	0,241	0,465	0,243	0,247	0,301
		Altruism	0,288	0,263	0,195	0,221	0,621	0,215

Variable Group 1 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,209	0,310	0,315	0,301	0,132	0,313
		Maintaining self-control	-0,096	-0,073	0,064	0,061	0,301	-0,042
		Setting your own value	0,038	-0,136	-0,061	0,031	0,061	-0,057
		Protest	-0,045	0,045	0,000	0,019	0,031	-0,477
		Optimism	0,319	0,128	0,219	0,107	0,019	0,279
		Cooperation	0,291	0,210	0,276	0,180	0,107	0,211
		Address	0,159	0,300	0,271	0,279	0,180	0,276
		Altruism	0,226	0,248	0,228	0,136	0,279	0,218

Variable Group 1 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,067	0,077	0,132	-0,053	-0,064	-0,057
		Confusion	-0,030	0,211	0,210	0,492	0,251	0,272
		Dissimulation	-0,149	0,244	0,183	0,556	0,369	0,552
		Ignoring	0,167	0,266	0,182	0,287	0,216	0,525
		Suppression of emotion	-0,297	0,230	0,214	0,297	0,502	0,273
		Obedience	0,010	0,230	0,124	0,209	0,781	0,209
		Self-incrimination	0,063	0,219	0,232	0,232	0,230	0,632
		Aggressiveness	0,008	0,221	0,157	0,287	0,185	0,629
		Active avoidance	0,217	0,277	0,202	0,108	0,217	0,126
Retreat	0,075	0,256	0,205	0,217	0,291	0,166		

Variable Group 1 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,089	-0,023	-0,085	0,058	0,136	-0,054
		Confusion	0,244	0,201	0,276	0,202	0,058	0,212
		Dissimulation	0,250	0,261	0,271	0,266	0,202	0,294
		Ignoring	0,272	0,198	0,688	0,391	0,266	0,225
		Suppression of emotion	0,146	0,224	0,227	0,243	0,291	0,248
		Obedience	0,149	0,212	0,216	0,234	0,243	0,236
		Self-incrimination	0,225	0,246	0,263	0,221	0,234	0,219
		Aggressiveness	0,125	0,647	0,322	0,207	0,221	0,721
		Active avoidance	0,419	0,184	0,703	0,288	0,207	0,706
		Retreat	0,465	0,292	0,674	0,285	0,288	0,275

Variable Group 1 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,204	0,603	0,233	0,239	0,239	0,243
		Giving meaning	0,266	0,228	0,110	0,285	0,267	0,232
		Religiousness	0,275	0,288	0,199	0,163	0,271	0,220
		Emotional release	0,252	0,246	0,131	0,263	0,169	0,261
		Passive cooperation	0,214	0,275	0,193	0,236	0,842	0,144
		Compensation	0,286	0,271	0,194	0,113	0,430	0,113
		Distraction	0,026	0,140	0,212	0,095	0,163	0,052
		Constructive activity	0,134	0,105	-0,213	0,261	0,163	0,255

Variable Group 1 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,420	0,258	0,269	0,262	0,285	0,270
		Giving meaning	0,247	0,114	0,202	0,228	0,262	0,129
		Religiousness	0,136	0,256	0,277	0,244	0,228	0,221
		Emotional release	0,275	0,235	0,215	0,215	0,244	0,248
		Passive cooperation	0,212	0,131	0,232	0,237	0,215	0,247
		Compensation	0,215	0,245	0,227	0,234	0,237	0,272
		Distraction	0,087	0,060	0,005	0,063	0,234	0,052
		Constructive activity	0,229	0,225	0,187	0,211	0,063	0,230

Variable Group 2 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,058	-0,329	0,108	0,162	0,182	0,238
		Maintaining self-control	0,133	-0,559	0,027	-0,213	-0,127	-0,041
		Setting your own value	0,640	-0,501	0,094	-0,020	0,010	-0,107
		Protest	-0,151	-0,412	-0,063	-0,050	0,025	-0,141
		Optimism	0,097	-0,390	-0,292	0,227	0,117	0,159
		Cooperation	0,065	0,104	0,041	0,213	0,247	0,162
		Address	0,195	0,148	0,373	0,151	0,155	0,209
		Altruism	0,196	0,170	0,103	0,129	0,529	0,123

Variable Group 2 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,117	0,218	0,223	0,209	0,040	0,221
		Maintaining self-control	-0,189	-0,165	-0,028	-0,031	0,209	-0,134
		Setting your own value	-0,055	-0,228	-0,153	-0,061	-0,031	-0,149
		Protest	-0,137	-0,048	-0,092	-0,073	-0,061	-0,569
		Optimism	0,227	0,036	0,127	0,015	-0,073	0,187
		Cooperation	0,199	0,118	0,183	0,088	0,015	0,119
		Address	0,067	0,208	0,179	0,187	0,088	0,184
		Altruism	0,134	0,156	0,136	0,044	0,187	0,126

Variable Group 2 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at p <.00100					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,159	-0,015	0,039	-0,145	-0,156	-0,149
		Confusion	-0,122	0,118	0,117	0,400	0,159	0,180
		Dissimulation	0,157	0,152	0,091	0,463	0,277	0,460
		Ignoring	0,074	0,174	0,090	0,195	0,124	0,433
		Suppression of emotion	0,205	0,138	0,122	0,205	0,410	0,181
		Obedience	-0,082	0,138	0,032	0,117	0,689	0,117
		Self-incrimination	-0,029	0,127	0,139	0,140	0,138	0,540
		Aggressiveness	-0,084	0,129	0,065	0,195	0,093	0,537
		Active avoidance	0,125	0,185	0,109	0,016	0,125	0,033
		Retreat	0,083	0,164	0,113	0,125	0,199	0,074

Variable Group 2 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deletedMarked correlations are significant at p <.00100					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,181	-0,115	-0,177	-0,034	0,044	-0,146
		Confusion	0,152	0,109	0,184	0,110	-0,034	0,119
		Dissimulation	0,158	0,169	0,178	0,173	0,110	0,202
		Ignoring	0,180	0,106	0,596	0,299	0,173	0,133
		Suppression of emotion	0,054	0,132	0,135	0,151	0,199	0,156
		Obedience	0,057	0,120	0,124	0,142	0,151	0,144
		Self-incrimination	0,132	0,154	0,171	0,129	0,142	0,127
		Aggressiveness	0,033	0,555	0,229	0,115	0,129	0,629
		Active avoidance	0,327	0,092	0,611	0,196	0,115	0,614
		Retreat	0,373	0,200	0,582	0,193	0,196	0,183

Variable Group 2 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognosti c (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety- neurasthenic	Hypochondri ac (I)
Heim methodolog y coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,112	0,511	0,141	0,147	0,146	0,151
		Giving meaning	0,174	0,136	0,018	0,193	0,175	0,140
		Religiousness	0,183	0,196	0,107	0,071	0,179	0,128
		Emotional release	0,160	0,154	0,039	0,171	0,077	0,169
		Passive cooperation	0,122	0,183	0,101	0,144	0,750	0,052
		Compensation	0,193	0,179	0,102	0,021	0,338	0,021
		Distraction	-0,066	0,048	0,120	0,003	0,071	-0,040
		Constructive activity	0,042	0,013	-0,305	0,169	0,070	0,163

Variable Group 2 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochochriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognosic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,328	0,166	0,177	-0,146	0,193	0,178
		Giving meaning	0,155	0,022	0,110	0,119	0,170	0,037
		Religiousness	0,044	0,164	0,184	0,202	0,135	0,129
		Emotional release	0,183	0,143	0,123	0,133	0,152	0,156
		Passive cooperation	0,120	0,038	0,140	0,156	0,123	0,155
		Compensation	0,123	0,153	0,135	0,144	0,145	0,180
		Distraction	-0,005	-0,032	-0,087	0,127	0,141	-0,040
		Constructive activity	0,136	0,133	0,095	0,629	-0,029	0,138

Variable Group 2 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety- neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,159	-0,228	0,209	0,263	0,283	0,339
		Maintaining self- control	0,234	-0,458	0,128	-0,112	-0,026	0,060
		Setting your own value	0,741	-0,400	0,195	0,081	0,111	-0,006
		Protest	-0,050	-0,311	0,038	0,051	0,126	-0,040
		Optimism	0,198	-0,289	-0,191	0,328	0,218	0,260
		Cooperation	0,166	0,205	0,142	0,314	0,348	0,263
		Address	0,296	0,250	0,474	0,252	0,256	0,310
		Altruism	0,297	0,271	0,204	0,230	0,630	0,224

Variable Group 2 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,218	0,319	0,324	0,310	0,141	0,322
		Maintaining self- control	-0,088	-0,064	0,073	0,070	0,310	-0,033
		Setting your own value	0,046	-0,127	-0,052	0,040	0,070	-0,048
		Protest	-0,036	0,053	0,009	0,028	0,040	-0,468
		Optimism	0,328	0,137	0,228	0,116	0,028	0,288
		Cooperation	0,300	0,219	0,284	0,189	0,116	0,220
		Address	0,168	0,309	0,280	0,288	0,189	0,285
		Altruism	0,235	0,257	0,237	0,145	0,288	0,227

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$						
		TOBOL						
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,058	0,086	0,140	-0,044	-0,055	-0,048
		Confusion	-0,021	0,219	0,218	0,501	0,260	0,281
		Dissimulation	0,158	0,253	0,192	0,564	0,378	0,561
		Ignoring	0,175	0,275	0,191	0,296	0,225	0,534
		Suppression of emotion	0,306	0,239	0,223	0,306	0,511	0,282
		Obedience	0,019	0,239	0,133	0,218	0,790	0,218
		Self-incrimination	0,072	0,228	0,240	0,241	0,239	0,641
		Aggressiveness	0,017	0,230	0,166	0,296	0,194	0,638
		Active avoidance	0,226	0,286	0,210	0,117	0,226	0,134
		Retreat	0,184	0,265	0,214	0,226	0,300	0,175

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$						
		TOBOL						
		Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondria _c	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)	
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,080	-0,014	-0,076	0,067	0,145	-0,045
		Confusion	0,253	0,210	0,285	0,211	0,067	0,220
		Dissimulation	0,259	0,270	0,279	0,274	0,211	0,303
		Ignoring	0,281	0,207	0,697	0,400	0,274	0,234
		Suppression of emotion	0,155	0,233	0,236	0,252	0,300	0,257
		Obedience	0,158	0,221	0,225	0,243	0,252	0,245
		Self-incrimination	0,233	0,255	0,272	0,230	0,243	0,228
		Aggressiveness	0,134	0,656	0,330	0,216	0,230	0,730
		Active avoidance	0,428	0,193	0,712	0,297	0,216	0,715
		Retreat	0,474	0,301	0,683	0,294	0,297	0,284

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$						
		TOBOL						
		Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,213	0,612	0,242	0,248	0,247	0,252
		Giving meaning	0,275	0,237	0,119	0,294	0,276	0,241
		Religiousness	0,184	0,297	0,208	0,172	0,280	0,229
		Emotional release	0,261	0,255	0,140	0,272	0,178	0,270
		Passive cooperation	0,223	0,284	0,202	0,245	0,851	0,153
		Compensation	0,294	0,280	0,203	0,122	0,439	0,122
		Distraction	0,035	0,149	0,221	0,104	0,172	0,061
		Constructive activity	0,143	0,114	-0,204	0,270	0,171	0,264

Variable Group 2 Cut 2		Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$						
		TOBOL						
		Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic-apathetic	Sensitive (C)	
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,429	0,267	0,278	0,271	0,294	0,279
		Giving meaning	0,256	0,123	0,211	0,236	0,271	0,138
		Religiousness	0,145	0,265	0,285	0,253	0,236	0,230
		Emotional release	0,284	0,244	0,224	0,224	0,253	0,257
		Passive cooperation	0,221	0,139	0,241	0,246	0,224	0,256
		Compensation	0,224	0,254	0,236	0,242	0,246	0,281
		Distraction	0,096	0,069	0,014	0,072	0,242	0,061
		Constructive activity	0,237	0,234	0,196	0,220	0,072	0,239

Variable Group 3 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,049	-0,338	0,099	0,153	0,173	0,229
		Maintaining self-control	0,124	-0,568	0,018	-0,222	-0,136	-0,050
		Setting your own value	0,631	-0,510	0,085	-0,029	0,001	-0,116
		Protest	-0,160	-0,421	-0,072	-0,059	0,016	-0,150
		Optimism	0,088	-0,399	-0,301	0,218	0,108	0,150
		Cooperation	0,056	0,095	0,032	0,204	0,238	0,153
		Address	0,186	0,140	0,364	0,142	0,146	0,200
		Altruism	0,187	0,161	0,094	0,120	0,520	0,114

Variable Group 3 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,108	0,209	0,214	0,200	0,031	0,212
		Maintaining self-control	-0,198	-0,174	-0,037	-0,040	0,200	-0,143
		Setting your own value	-0,064	-0,237	-0,162	-0,070	-0,040	-0,158
		Protest	-0,146	-0,057	-0,101	-0,082	-0,070	-0,578
		Optimism	0,218	0,027	0,118	0,006	-0,082	0,178
		Cooperation	0,190	0,109	0,174	0,079	0,006	0,110
		Address	0,058	0,199	0,170	0,178	0,079	0,175
		Altruism	0,125	0,147	0,127	0,035	0,178	0,117

Variable Group 3 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$						
			TOBOL						
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety- neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)	Neurasthenic (N)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,168	-0,024	0,030	-0,154	-0,165	-0,158	-0,190
		Confusion	-0,131	0,109	0,108	0,391	0,150	0,171	0,143
		Dissimulation	0,148	0,143	0,082	0,454	0,268	0,451	0,149
		Ignoring	0,065	0,165	0,081	0,186	0,115	0,424	0,171
		Suppression of emotion	0,196	0,129	0,113	0,196	0,401	0,172	0,045
		Obedience	-0,091	0,129	0,023	0,108	0,680	0,108	0,048
		Self-incrimination	-0,038	0,118	0,130	0,131	0,129	0,531	0,123
		Aggressiveness	-0,093	0,120	0,056	0,186	0,084	0,528	0,024
		Active avoidance	0,116	0,176	0,100	0,007	0,116	0,024	0,318
		Retreat	0,574	0,155	0,104	0,116	0,190	0,065	0,364

Variable Group 3 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$				
			TOBOL				
			Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,124	-0,186	-0,043	0,035	-0,155
		Confusion	0,100	0,175	0,101	-0,043	0,110
		Dissimulation	0,160	0,169	0,164	0,101	0,193
		Ignoring	0,097	0,587	0,290	0,164	0,124
		Suppression of emotion	0,123	0,126	0,142	0,190	0,147
		Obedience	0,111	0,115	0,133	0,142	0,135
		Self-incrimination	0,145	0,162	0,120	0,133	0,118
		Aggressiveness	0,546	0,220	0,106	0,120	0,620

		Active avoidance	0,083	0,602	0,187	0,106	0,605
		Retreat	0,191	0,573	0,184	0,187	0,174

Variable Group 3 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at p <,00100					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety- neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,103	0,502	0,132	0,138	0,137	0,142
		Giving meaning	0,165	0,127	0,009	0,184	0,166	0,131
		Religiousness	0,174	0,187	0,098	0,062	0,170	0,119
		Emotional release	0,151	0,145	0,030	0,162	0,068	0,160
		Passive cooperation	0,113	0,174	0,092	0,135	0,741	0,043
		Compensation	0,184	0,170	0,093	0,012	0,329	0,012
		Distraction	-0,075	0,039	0,111	-0,006	0,062	-0,049
		Constructive activity	0,033	0,004	-0,314	0,160	0,061	0,154

Variable Group 3 Cut 1			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at p <,00100					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondria c	Apathetic (A)	Anosognosic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,319	0,157	0,168	0,161	0,184	0,169
		Giving meaning	0,146	0,013	0,101	0,126	0,161	0,028
		Religiousness	0,035	0,155	0,175	0,143	0,126	0,120
		Emotional release	0,174	0,134	0,114	0,114	0,143	0,147
		Passive cooperation	0,111	0,029	0,131	0,136	0,114	0,146
		Compensation	0,114	0,144	0,126	0,132	0,136	0,171
		Distraction	-0,014	-0,041	-0,096	-0,038	0,132	-0,049
		Constructive activity	0,127	0,124	0,086	0,110	-0,038	0,129

Variable Group 3 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,038	-0,349	0,088	0,142	0,162	0,218
		Maintaining self-control	0,113	-0,579	0,006	-0,233	-0,147	-0,061
		Setting your own value	0,120	-0,522	0,074	-0,040	-0,010	-0,127
		Protest	-0,171	-0,432	-0,083	-0,070	0,005	-0,161
		Optimism	0,077	-0,410	-0,312	0,207	0,097	0,139
		Cooperation	0,044	0,084	0,020	0,193	0,226	0,141
		Address	0,174	0,128	0,353	0,131	0,134	0,189
		Altruism	0,175	0,150	0,083	0,109	0,509	0,103

Variable Group 3 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anasognostic-apatheic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Adaptive coping behaviours	Problem analysis	0,096	0,198	0,203	0,189	0,020	0,201
		Maintaining self-control	-0,209	-0,185	-0,048	-0,052	0,189	-0,155
		Setting your own value	-0,075	-0,249	-0,173	-0,081	-0,052	-0,169
		Protest	-0,157	-0,068	-0,112	-0,093	-0,081	-0,590
		Optimism	0,207	0,016	0,107	-0,005	-0,093	0,167
		Cooperation	0,179	0,098	0,163	0,068	-0,005	0,099
		Address	0,046	0,188	0,159	0,166	0,068	0,164
		Altruism	0,114	0,135	0,116	0,023	0,166	0,106

Variable Group 3 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety- neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,179	-0,035	0,019	-0,165	-0,177	-0,169
		Confusion	-0,143	0,098	0,097	0,380	0,139	0,160
		Dissimulation	0,137	0,131	0,071	0,443	0,257	0,440
		Ignoring	0,054	0,154	0,069	0,175	0,104	0,413
		Suppression of emotion	0,185	0,118	0,101	0,184	0,389	0,161
		Obedience	-0,102	0,118	0,012	0,097	0,669	0,097
		Self-incrimination	-0,049	0,107	0,119	0,119	0,118	0,520
		Aggressiveness	-0,104	0,109	0,045	0,175	0,073	0,517
		Active avoidance	0,104	0,165	0,089	-0,004	0,105	0,013
		Retreat	0,163	0,144	0,093	0,105	0,179	0,054

Variable Group 3 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Non-adaptive coping behaviours	Humility	-0,201	-0,135	-0,197	-0,054	0,023	-0,166
		Confusion	0,132	0,089	0,164	0,090	-0,054	0,099
		Dissimulation	0,138	0,149	0,158	0,153	0,090	0,181
		Ignoring	0,160	0,085	0,576	0,278	0,153	0,113
		Suppression of emotion	0,034	0,112	0,115	0,131	0,178	0,136
		Obedience	0,037	0,100	0,103	0,122	0,131	0,124
		Self-incrimination	0,112	0,134	0,151	0,109	0,122	0,106
		Aggressiveness	0,012	0,535	0,209	0,095	0,109	0,608
		Active avoidance	0,307	0,072	0,591	0,176	0,095	0,594
		Retreat	0,352	0,180	0,562	0,173	0,176	0,163

Variable Group 3 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Harmonious (D)	Ergopathic (R)	Anosognostic (H)	Anxious (T)	Anxiety-neurasthenic	Hypochondriac (I)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,092	0,491	0,120	0,127	0,126	0,131
		Giving meaning	0,154	0,115	-0,002	0,172	0,155	0,120
		Religiousness	0,162	0,176	0,087	0,051	0,159	0,108
		Emotional release	0,140	0,134	0,019	0,151	0,057	0,149
		Passive cooperation	0,102	0,163	0,081	0,124	0,730	0,032
		Compensation	0,173	0,159	0,082	0,001	0,318	0,000
		Distraction	-0,087	0,028	0,099	-0,017	0,051	-0,060
		Constructive activity	0,022	-0,007	-0,326	0,149	0,050	0,143

Variable Group 3 Cut 2			Spearman Rank Order Correlations (Spreadsheet1) MD pairwise deleted Marked correlations are significant at $p < .00100$					
			TOBOL					
			Neurasthenic (N)	Melancholic (M)	Melancholic and hypochondriac	Apathetic (A)	Anosognostic- apathetic	Sensitive (C)
Heim methodology coping mechanisms	Relatively adaptive coping behaviours	Relativity	0,308	0,146	0,157	0,150	0,173	0,157
		Giving meaning	0,135	0,002	0,090	0,115	0,150	0,017
		Religiousness	0,024	0,144	0,164	0,132	0,115	0,109
		Emotional release	0,162	0,123	0,103	0,103	0,132	0,136
		Passive cooperation	0,100	0,018	0,120	0,125	0,103	0,135
		Compensation	0,103	0,133	0,114	0,121	0,125	0,160
		Distraction	-0,025	-0,052	-0,108	-0,049	0,121	-0,060
		Constructive activity	0,116	0,113	0,075	0,099	-0,049	0,118